

≡ Menu

Fearless commentary on finance, economics, politics and power

SUBSCRIBE

## Recent Items

- [Links 5/6/2024 - 05/06/2024 - Lambert Strether](#)
- [Remembering A Day the West Wants to Forget - 05/06/2024 - Conor Gallagher](#)
- [Tuberculosis Emergency in California After Death in Homeless Shelter Outbreak; Follows Chicago Migrant Shelter Cluster and Earlier IM Doc Anecdota - 05/06/2024 - Yves Smith](#)
- [How 'Apocalypse' Became a Secular as Well as a Religious Idea - 05/06/2024 - Lambert Strether](#)
- [The Problem of Feeding a Still-Growing Population: Nitrogen, Agrochemical Corporations and International Trade: A Perilous Mix - 05/06/2024 - Yves Smith](#)
- [Could WHO's Technical Report on "Pathogens That Transmit Through the Air" Already Be Obsolete, Two Weeks After Its Release? - 05/05/2024 - Lambert Strether](#)
- [Which Industries Lost Jobs, Which Gained Jobs: Longer-Term Employment Trends in Charts - 05/05/2024 - Lambert Strether](#)
- [Links 5/5/2024 - 05/05/2024 - Lambert Strether](#)
- ['Absurd!': US Billionaires Pay Lower Tax Rate Than Working Class for First Time - 05/04/2024 - Yves Smith](#)

## A Weak Spot in Carbon Sequestration: Abandoned Oil and Gas Wells

Posted on March 27, 2024 by Yves Smith

Yves here. It is deeply distressing to see how promoters can sell regulators, politicians, and investors on schemes that look great in PowerPoint but (in the most charitable interpretation of the sponsor's actions) haven't been sufficiently well road tested. With climate change, there is an even stronger impulse to not look too hard at appealing schemes like carbon sequestration. They offer the promise of containing the after-effects of fossil fuel use and thus reduce pressure on the need to radically curb consumption.

***By Nicholas Kusnetz, a reporter for Inside Climate News. Originally published at [Inside Climate News](#) and was reproduced at [Undark](#)***

After more than a century of pulling carbon from underground, a rush is underway to pump it **back down**. Companies have applied for scores of permits across the country to inject carbon dioxide deep into the earth. Several projects have already been approved.

With industry planning to inject tens of millions of tons annually, a looming question is whether the climate-warming gas will stay underground.

The most likely points of failure, experts say, could be some of the millions of **abandoned oil and gas wells** that perforate the nation, often in the same areas targeted for storing carbon dioxide underground. A new report underscores the risk those wells pose in Louisiana, home to more proposed carbon storage projects than any other state.

There are about 120,000 abandoned wells in Louisiana overlying geological zones that could store carbon dioxide, more than 13,000 of which were plugged before modern standards were adopted in 1953, according to **a report published** by the Center for Applied Environmental Science at the Environmental Integrity Project, a watchdog group. A separate count, by the Louisiana-based advocacy group Healthy Gulf, looked within a 5-mile radius of the proposed projects and found about 7,000 oil and gas wells.

"It's not a question of whether they're going to leak," said Abel Russ, director of the Center for Applied Environmental Science, which **published an accompanying map** of the wells. "It's a question of how much, how often, and whether it's an acceptable level of leakage."

With support from the Biden administration and billions of dollars in new subsidies and tax incentives, energy companies and others are planning to capture millions of tons of industrial carbon dioxide emissions and then pipe the climate pollutant for underground storage, part of an effort to reduce the nation's greenhouse gas pollution. **Federal** and **state** regulators are reviewing 69 projects or permits to store CO2 underground, with **24 of those** in Louisiana. Nine projects **have already been**


approved while one more, in California, is pending.

Companies plan to inject carbon dioxide into porous rock formations that are usually filled with brine containing not only extremely high salt levels but often heavy metals, hydrocarbons, and radioactive elements. Brine leaks, therefore, can be even more worrying than the escape of CO<sub>2</sub>.

Many scientists who study underground carbon dioxide storage say the risk of large-scale leaks is low. While there will inevitably be some leaks, they say, they will likely be slow, detectable and relatively easy to fix.

“Alertness is reasonable, but great fear is not,” said Susan Hovorka, a senior research scientist at the Bureau of Economic Geology at the University of Texas, which is funded by government and energy companies involved in carbon storage.

Many environmental advocates remain skeptical, however, and they point to problems caused by the injection of oilfield wastewater under high pressure in West Texas and other regions, the best existing analogue for the planned industrial-scale storage of CO<sub>2</sub>.



In recent years, toxic brine has seeped and spewed out of old oil and gas wells across West Texas near wastewater injection wells, spouting **more than 100 feet** in the air and creating **an artificial saline lake**. The wastewater injections have also **caused earthquakes**, as the pressurized fluid interacts with faults. Carbon dioxide would be injected as a “supercritical” fluid that has properties of both a gas and liquid.

While the regulations for carbon dioxide storage are more rigorous than those that cover injection of other substances, abandoned oil wells represent a weak spot in the rules, said Dominic DiGiulio, a former EPA geoscientist and co-author of the new report.

“Plugged wells do leak. These wells were plugged a long time ago, and now we’re going to store supercritical CO2 under very high pressure and hope that these things somehow last thousands of years,” DiGiulio said. “It’s a problem.”

Environmental advocates are especially concerned about Louisiana, which recently became the third state, after North Dakota and Wyoming, to get EPA approval to regulate CO2 injection wells. Many advocacy groups worry the state is not equipped to handle oversight of this new and complex technical challenge, and they say state regulators have a history of deferring to the oil and gas industry. They point out that the new secretary of the Department of Energy and Natural Resources, which regulates the wells, previously led Louisiana’s chief oil and gas lobbying group.

Patrick Courreges, a department spokesman, said the secretary does not have control over regulators.

---

Picture a massive cake, with alternating layers of spongy, porous cake and dense, impermeable frosting. That’s more or less what the Earth’s crust is like, if those layers were bent and warped and made of rock.

Over millions of years, oil and gas accumulated in some of the porous layers, held in place by the frosting on top. Hovorka and others say the same geological features that held the hydrocarbons in place can now do the same for carbon dioxide.

The problem is that people, over the last 150 years or so, have poked some 3.9 million straws into the cake in the form of oil and gas wells, **according to the EPA**, puncturing the layers and opening up paths for fluids and gases to migrate up.

Hundreds of thousands of these abandoned wells have no records, so no one knows exactly where they are. Others may have been plugged with wood or in some cases not at all. Most wells are lined with steel casings that are cemented to the surrounding earth, and are plugged with cement once they’re out of use. But even properly drilled and plugged wells can fail over time as the steel corrodes or the cement degrades. Fluids and gases can then leak up these straws into groundwater or to the surface. Carbon dioxide is buoyant and will move upward if given the chance.

It is these leaky, old wells that have caused the geysers and artificial lakes in West Texas. Elsewhere, including in Louisiana, **sinkholes** have opened up, sometimes forcing evacuations. More commonly, the wells leak smaller amounts of brine and gas. The EPA **estimates abandoned wells release** about 300,000 metric tons of methane every year.

Many of the proposed carbon storage projects in Louisiana have at least a few and sometimes dozens of wells within a couple of miles. The Hackberry Carbon Sequestration project, which would inject up to 2 million tons of carbon dioxide captured from a liquified natural gas terminal and other sources in Louisiana, has more than 50 abandoned wells within a 2-mile radius, according to an analysis of state records by the Center for Applied Environmental Science. Many of those wells are unplugged, idle wells or wells that were plugged before 1953, when improved cement standards were established. Some were also drilled down to the depth of 8,000 feet, the level at which the project would inject its carbon dioxide, according to well logs.

The regulations say companies must identify each of the wells within a certain radius of the project and make sure they are properly plugged or secured. For any that are not, companies must plug the well, which can cost hundreds of thousands of dollars per well. The pending project in California will require plugging 157 nearby oil and gas wells, according to the [draft permit](#).

That is what's supposed to happen, but DiGiulio said there are several points where this process could fail. There could be undocumented wells, which companies would have to find, either through aerial imaging or field surveys. For wells that are on the books, the regulations do not require companies to do more than checking the record to see if it is plugged, DiGiulio said. It is at the discretion of the regulator whether companies must perform tests on the wells to make sure the records are correct, and that the cement surrounding or plugging the well has not deteriorated.

What's more, DiGiulio said, even properly plugged wells can leak. He and others have conducted research in Pennsylvania indicating that plugged wells leak more than 130 kilograms of methane a year on average, with some leaking far more.

The "area of review" that companies must survey is defined as the zone within which the project's injections will increase underground pressure. This zone extends far beyond the plume of carbon dioxide, and can be influenced by any number of variables including the temperature and physical characteristics of the rock and the rate of injection. Companies use modeling to determine their areas of review, but research by Hovorka and others has shown that if two or more projects are injecting into the same zones and are close enough together, the areas they affect can meet and grow to be larger than either project's model would predict independently.

One [2009 study](#) by scientists at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory modeled what would happen if 20 hypothetical projects injected a combined 100 million tons per year into the Illinois Basin, in the Midwest. That volume is far more than currently proposed anywhere but in line with what could be needed if carbon storage becomes a significant industry. The researchers found that the areas of review would essentially combine into one giant, pressurized zone that would extend more than 100 miles beyond the injection wells. The companies, therefore, would need to identify and test abandoned wells across more than 38,000 square miles, which could prove to be impractical or cost prohibitive.

Jens Birkholzer, a co-author of the study and a senior scientist at Lawrence Berkeley, said he thinks the risk of leaks is extremely low. The study was modeling a hypothetical situation far in the future, he said, though the concept they were studying could come into play as projects come online.

DiGiulio said scientists with that perspective generally assume that the regulations are implemented and enforced properly, an

expectation he said is not supported by history.

“How can you say this isn’t a problem?” DiGiulio said, pointing to the leaks and blowouts in West Texas.

Courreges, the Department of Energy and Natural Resources spokesman, said the regulators are aware of the potential for projects to interact and that they take seriously the risks posed by abandoned wells.

“They need to demonstrate to our satisfaction that it’s properly plugged,” Courreges said of companies proposing projects. That could entail simply checking records for newer wells, he said, or conducting field tests for older ones. “Is there a possibility some old well will be missed?” Courreges said. “Nothing can be ruled out 100 percent,” but he said most areas have good documentation.

Sempra Infrastructure, the company behind the Hackberry project, said there are no other wells within the project’s area of review and that the company would “utilize real-time monitoring with the most advanced fiber optic technology and regular site visits to ensure Sempra Infrastructure’s commitment to safety and sustainability.”

The size of the project’s area of review remains confidential and Sempra did not answer a question asking how far it extends.

The challenge abandoned wells present to carbon dioxide storage proposals is not unique to Louisiana. Texas has also applied to regulate CO2 injection wells, a prospect that troubles Paige Powell, policy manager at Commission Shift, an energy watchdog group in that state. Her organization recently [sent a letter to the EPA](#) asking it to revoke Texas’s oversight of wastewater injection wells — Texas and most other states already have primacy for wastewater injection wells — citing the recent blowouts and leaks from abandoned wells.

“There’s things happening in the subsurface that they don’t know about,” Powell said, adding that she was skeptical of claims that CO2 injection wells won’t leak. “I think it’s audacious to say it’s not going to happen.”

Russ, with the Center for Applied Environmental Science, said he was troubled by the lack of information available about the carbon storage applications. Many details remain secret, and won’t be published until the state opens draft permits for public review.

“Everyone is stuck in this position of being uninformed and skeptical, and that’s not a great place to be in,” Russ said. “It’s just moving so fast, it’s so novel and so untested, there are a lot of unanswered questions and the lack of information in that context is bad.”

This entry was posted in [Doomsday scenarios](#), [Energy markets](#), [Global warming](#), [Guest Post](#), [Regulations and regulators](#), [Technology and innovation](#) on [March 27, 2024](#) by [Yves Smith](#).

## 9 COMMENTS

## SUBSCRIBE TO POST COMMENTS

**jefemt**

**March 27, 2024 at 8:23 am**

I recall some horror stories of ‘fizzy’ ground at injection sites in southern SK in Canada within the last 8 or so years.

Hucksterism, toothpaste/tube, financialization and monetization of a greenwashed get rich quick scheme, no mechanisms for long-term monitoring, little discussion/disclosure about energy INPUTS required, and no rigor or attention to getting beyond carbon-based fuels. Enabling at its worst, driven by the Oil and Gas industry. NB- I am biting the hand that has fed me...

---

**jefemt**

**March 27, 2024 at 9:06 am**

One more thing— I would encourage anyone who is curious to do a quick search for the Oil and Gas commission GIS web site for any given oil / gas producing State that is of interest to you.

The mapping, akin to that shown above in LA, is jaw-dropping. The black spots of abuse, the poking and prodding of mother earth to get at the devils brew, the marvelously energy-dense portable resource called oil- that resource that has enabled seven generations to overshoot our carrying capacity... well, it is just mind boggling to me every time I see it. Western NoDak, Eastern MT, NE Colorado, western Colorado, Wyoming, KS, OK, TX, NM... golly. Then PA, WV, OH, MI... 19 plus MILLION barrels a day gobbled up by we-all, just here in US.

---

**BeliTsari**

**March 27, 2024 at 10:24 am**

“That was ME, people” poisoned air, water, crops, livestock & people in PA. It’s MSNBC’s sneering b-movie thug, Ed Rendell’s job. But DNC installed a senile Dixiecrat who’d overthrown Ukraine to cut CHEAP, relatively clean Rooski gas & frack/ crack Marcellus 8-well pads, quick to kick, impossible to plug (Hillary woulda had us in runaway AGW years back, with EU fighting Russia). DNC’s NYC slumlord super-delegates installed fracked gas boilers, moving carbon footprints 140mi West & it took a decade for The New Yorker to publish anything about kids dying of novel blood cancers, fracking reservoirs, reactors, aquifers & Catholic schools?

<https://www.fractracker.org/map/us/pennsylvania/>

<https://www.newyorker.com/news/dispatch/when-the-kids-started-getting-sick>

---

**The Rev Kev****March 27, 2024 at 9:20 am**

I have never once believed in this idea of carbon sequestration as it was too much like the idea of out of sight, out of mind. And with this article, it sounds like a bunch of hucksters want to make bank by pushing this idea as being environmentally friendly or something. You keep on pumping carbon dioxide underground and as it leaks up through either those old oil wells or just the geology, you are liable to create dead zones. Areas where you cannot go as it is so dangerous because of all that seeping carbon dioxide. Or maybe you will have eruptions of carbon dioxide in unexpected areas wiping out all life where it leaks. Back in 1986 in Cameroon, there was a massive leak of carbon dioxide which killed 1,746 people and 3,500 livestock. Yes, it was natural but it shows you the potential of what could happen-

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lake\\_Nyos\\_disaster](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lake_Nyos_disaster)

---

**ISL****March 27, 2024 at 10:18 am**

These studies miss that the leaks do not necessarily occur through the well, but often occur through the surrounding fractured and in the case of seismically active settings, through faults. In fact seismically active settings, like California, are significantly different. Studies that only look at the well, rather than a top-down look at the field (almost no-one does) miss much of the picture. Such top down assessments could be done by satellite, but sensitivity is not yet there, or modeling of air measurement stations, but there are few near any fields as there are no regulations yet, or from airborne surveys, which generally cannot fly low enough, or by mobile surface – with few performed and most not sufficiently sensitive. So generally a small tent or two is put over the well.

Additionally, while a field is under operation, abandoned wells in the field leak little because production lowers reservoir pressure. Once the field is abandoned, this pressure increases due to migration of new hydrocarbons into and through the reservoir (and water from aquifers), re-pressurizing the leakage (or seepage). This argues strongly that the EPA estimates, based on oil well emissions measured mostly in operating fields, are likely too low.

---

**rjs****March 27, 2024 at 12:44 pm**

even if one could safely sequester CO<sub>2</sub>, it would take years of operation before the carbon footprint of building the CCS infrastructure could be recovered...for just the steel in the pipelines, you'd have generated 1.85 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> per ton of steel produced; and before that, the carbon footprint of mining and transporting the iron ore.....once your pipes are manufactured, you'll have a massive carbon footprint running diesel powered earthmoving equipment to get the pipelines in the ground.....

virtually all of the Manchin Green New Deal has life cycle problems like that...the windfarm in Wyoming will never produce



enough electricity to replace the high carbon electricity that was generated in manufacturing the concrete, the steel, and the aluminum needed to build the 700 miles of transmission line to get that electric power to where it will be used...

---

### Al Goehring

March 27, 2024 at 4:12 pm

We could just do nothing. Not joking. If nothing works that doesn't require the Greta Thunberg hair shirt (which is ethically correct, but nobody other than neurotics like her and me will do it) I think the only answer is stop having children and let the inevitable mass die off happen. Even if it includes us/me.

I don't want to be cavalier about the lives of others, but I only make 30k a USD year give or take (depending on my hours worked), the most I've ever made, I'm under 40 and I spend over half my income on rent for a rickety apartment – which I'm lucky to have, and could lose at any time the owner wants the rent increased. I eat oats, bread (actual verified 100% whole wheat bread, before anyone goes off about ultra processed food) and potatoes 2 to 3 meals a day.

Yes I eat some meat and dairy. I know it's unethical. I can't seem to let go of those final comforts like milk in coffee (and please leave me alone about the coffee). I barely fly anyway, I try not to drive much, and now my dream of seeing any of the world beyond my small corner is disappearing. I am not sure others like me will accept veganism and no traveling and no air conditioning (until they have no choice.)

Yes I know the answer is de growth and accepting a drastically simplified and curtailed lifestyle, but I cannot believe anyone would do it. I'm not sure that even the people who are used to those lifestyles could or would buy in.

**PLEASE feel free to delete this comment if it's inappropriate**, I read this blog for the insights despite it being far above my level of education, so I bet I'm misunderstanding much of what is written here. I'm not trying to judge anyone, and **I'm truly open to the idea that I richly deserve whatever criticism this comment gets**, but sometimes the black pill stuff about how none of the solutions are adequate makes me consider not paying any attention again and letting the cruelty just happen.

Again this comment is probably inappropriate but maybe it's a window into why people are resistant to the necessary sacrifices. Still thank you for the continued insight.

---

### Synoa

March 27, 2024 at 6:33 pm

The state of California has initiated a program, to cap all uncapped and leaking wells in the state.

I do not recall if the number of wells was 7,000 or 70,000 uncapped.

---

### Telee

**March 27, 2024 at 6:53 pm**

Ten years ago Dr. Anthony Ingraffea, professor of engineering with a background in fossil fuel extraction has shown that over time an increasing percentage of fracking ( and conventional ) well leak in spite of what the industry claims. He thinks that fracking is a dangerous technology that produces harmful externalities. Talks by him on this subject are readily available on YouTube.

---

Comments are closed.

---



---

## TIP JAR



Please [Donate](#) or [Subscribe!](#)





---

## SEARCH

---

## RECENT COMMENTS

**steppenwolf fetchit** on [Links 5/6/2024](#)  
Sample test question . . . True or...

---

**steppenwolf fetchit** on [Links 5/6/2024](#)  
That book on terrorism looks embarrassing enough when...

---

**Kouros** on [How 'Apocalypse' Became a Secular as Well as a Religious Idea](#)

ANd you are not a fearmonger then?

---

**hk** on **Remembering A Day the West Wants to Forget**

Poland joining Germany in demanding territory from the...

---

**Kouros** on **Remembering A Day the West Wants to Forget**

I liked very much this analysis by Gabriel...

---

---

## TOPICS

Africa (195)

Australia (191)

Auto industry (230)

Banana republic (4,994)

Banking industry (5,337)

Brexit (352)

CalPERS (275)



- Carbon credits (49)
- CEO compensation (277)
- China (1,107)
- Commodities (658)
- Corporate governance (548)
- Credit cards (207)
- Credit markets (4,041)
- Curiosities (385)
- Currencies (1,147)
- Derivatives (741)
- Documents (7)
- Doomsday scenarios (3,667)
- Dubious statistics (1,370)
- ECONNED (103)
- Economic fundamentals (4,536)
- Energy markets (1,323)
- Environment (1,643)
- Europe (1,656)
- Federal Reserve (1,544)
- Free markets and their discontents (3,557)
- Garrulous insolence (115)
- Global warming (1,335)
- Globalization (2,055)
- Guest Post (15,605)
- Health care (1,451)
- Hedge funds (509)

- Income disparity (2,728)
- India (194)
- Infrastructure (301)
- Investment banks (768)
- Investment management (870)
- Investment outlook (1,063)
- Japan (253)
- Legal (3,035)
- Links (5,603)
- Macroeconomic policy (1,441)
- Market inefficiencies (415)
- Media appearances (78)
- Media watch (1,481)
- Middle East (549)
- Moral hazard (422)
- New McCarthyism (42)
- New York Magazine articles (4)
- New Zealand (83)
- Notices (666)
- OCC (17)
- Pandemic (1,037)
- Payment system (232)
- Permaculture (121)
- Politics (9,465)
- Private equity (827)
- Privatization (251)

- Real estate (1,743)
- Regulations and regulators (5,156)
- Ridiculously obvious scams (1,576)
- Risk and risk management (954)
- Russia (928)
- Science and the scientific method (464)
- Social policy (2,284)
- Social values (2,860)
- Species loss (121)
- Student loans (118)
- Summer rerun (53)
- Surveillance state (340)
- Taxes (549)
- Technology and innovation (1,127)
- The destruction of the middle class (2,786)
- The dismal science (2,039)
- TPP (99)
- Turkey (45)
- Uber (60)
- UK (534)
- Water Cooler (2,438)

---

## **BLOGROLL**

- Angry Bear
- Automatic Earth
- Bill Mitchell – billy blog

Credit Slips

Don Quijones' Rigged Game

Econbrowser

Economic Populist

Ed Harrison

Eyes on Trade

Gaius Publius

Glenn Greenwald

Health Care Renewal

Lambert Strether

Macro Business

mathbabe

Matt Stoller

Michael Hudson

New Economic Perspectives

OilPrice

Pando Daily

TripleCrisis

Warren Mosler

Wolf Richter (WolfStreet)

Yanis Varoufakis

Copyright © 2006 - 2024 Aurora Advisors Incorporated All Rights Reserved | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Do Not Sell My Personal Information](#)

