

## International Journal of Hydrogen Energy

Volume 50, Part D, 2 January 2024, Pages 879-892

# H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption on Cameo coal: Insights into the role of cushion gas in hydrogen geological storage

 Xiaoqiang Li, Xun Sun, Clifford C. Walters, Tongwei Zhang 
 No

 Show more ∨

 Image: Dutline

 Get rights and content 

## Highlights

- Adsorbed amounts of H<sub>2</sub> are much smaller than CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>.
- Weak affinity of H<sub>2</sub> with coals is quantitatively evaluated.
- H<sub>2</sub> loss by adsorption during geological storage can be significantly reduced by

the injection of  $CH_4$  or  $CO_2$  as cushion gas.

#### Abstract

Large-scale <u>hydrogen underground storage</u> is widely considered as an important solution for energy transition to mitigate global warming, however, our understanding on the various chemical and physical processes leading to H<sub>2</sub> loss during geo-storage remains largely unknown. We conducted adsorption isotherms measurements of H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> on Cretaceous Cameo coal at 35, 50 and 65°C, to explore adsorption mechanisms of H<sub>2</sub> and deciphering the effect of cushion gas (e.g., CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>) on H<sub>2</sub> loss in the subsurface. The <u>adsorbed amounts</u> of H<sub>2</sub> are just 12% and 6% of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> at temperature of 35°C and pressure of 2.3MPa, respectively, and H<sub>2</sub> adsorption behavior on Cameo coal can be described well by Langmuir monolayer theory. The extremely high Langmuir pressures (35–49MPa) for H<sub>2</sub> indicate very weak affinity with coal, compared to CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. Differences of Langmuir <u>adsorption capacities</u> suggest that the gas adsorption of H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> are mainly by physical interactions while both physical and chemical bonding with coal structures occurs for CO<sub>2</sub>. The affinity with solid materials for the three gases can be quantitatively evaluated by the <u>heat</u> of adsorption, which was determined to be ~9.2kJ/mol for H<sub>2</sub>. Extrapolation of our experimental results to natural coal seams indicates that H<sub>2</sub> presents much smaller adsorption amounts at depth up to 2000 m, corresponding to temperatures lower than 65°C and pressure less than 30MPa, geological conditions that are suitable for hydrogen underground storage. This study confirms that the injection of  $CH_4$  or  $CO_2$  as cushion gas can largely reduce the  $H_2$  loss by adsorption in the subsurface. Empirical calculation suggests H<sub>2</sub> adsorption will be insignificant with chemical composition of CH<sub>4</sub> above 8% or CO<sub>2</sub> above 2% at the storage sites (e.g., abandoned mines, depleted coal seams). Our study lays a foundation on the understanding of the risk of H<sub>2</sub> loss in large-scale hydrogen underground storage.



Previous

Next

### Keywords

Energy transition; Hydrogen underground storage; Competitive adsorption; Hydrogen loss

## 1. Introduction

Energy transition from conventional carbon-releasing fossils to clean renewables is a key step to reach net-zero CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and mitigate the climate change [[1], [2], [3], [4]]. Hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) is widely considered as a future zero-carbon energy carrier to provide a reliable, sustainable and renewable energy supply with large-scale storage in the subsurface [[5], [6], [7], [8]]. Depleted oil/gas reservoirs, artificial <u>salt caverns</u>, aquifers, hard <u>rock caverns</u>, and abandoned mines are regarded as suitable sites for H<sub>2</sub> underground storage [[9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14]]. Various interactions among gas-fluid-rock system have been evaluated for the characterization of H<sub>2</sub> flow and risk assessment in the <u>hydrogen geological storage</u>, for example interfacial tension [[15], [16], [17], [18]], <u>wettability</u> [[19], [20], [21]], diffusion [22,23], and contact angle [17,24,25]. Recovery of H<sub>2</sub> stored in the subsurface is impacted by chemical and biological consumption, diffusive loss through sealing rocks and adsorption [1,[26], [27], [28]]. The latter is an important geological factor that needs to be investigated for a better understanding of the adsorption mechanisms that occur in the subsurface storage of H<sub>2</sub> compared to other gases.

Several studies have investigated the adsorption of H<sub>2</sub> in different solid media for use as a transportable fuel [29,30]. Carbon <u>nanomaterials</u>, <u>metal organic frameworks</u> and activated carbons have been proposed as suitable matrices for H<sub>2</sub> storage [[31], [32], [33], [34]]. These studies indicated that the amounts of adsorbed H<sub>2</sub> positively correlate with <u>micropore</u> volume and specific surface area of the sample materials. H<sub>2</sub> storage using synthetic materials or in surface tanks is impractical for the large volumes required for a zero-carbon economy, shifting attention to subsurface reservoirs (e.g., depleted oil/gas reservoirs, salt caverns) [1,6]. Currently, limited studies have reported H<sub>2</sub> adsorption on geological samples with relatively high specific surface areas, such as coals at different maturities [23,35,36], <u>oil shale</u> [37] and montmorillonite-types clays [38]. The results on coal samples indicate that maturity is likely an important geological