

We must go honest to 'go green'

BY SCOTT TINKER, OPINION CONTRIBUTOR — 01/20/21 11:00 AM EST
THE VIEWS EXPRESSED BY CONTRIBUTORS ARE THEIR OWN AND NOT THE VIEW OF THE HILL

3,243 SHARES

Just In...

New York AG sues antiabortion activists for harassing Planned Parenthood staff, patients

HEALTHCARE - 2M 36S AGO

How Biden can clean up Trump's Venezuela mess

OPINION — 4M 13S AGO

Former HHS secretary Sebelius joins marijuana industry group

BUSINESS & LOBBYING

— 7M 44S AGO

MLB players, staff to wear electronic tracing bands in effort to fight pandemic

MEDIA - 9M 11S AGO



© Greg Nash

The Biden administration represents itself as following science with regard to energy policy, yet it presents a simplistic clean and dirty energy narrative with the obvious solution to get rid of fossil fuels and "go green."

Unfortunately, this narrative is naïve, expensive and misleading. It will harm U.S. energy security, the economy, the environment and the impoverished.

1 of 4 2/9/2021, 2:18 PM

Democrat on impeachment: Trump tweet 'chills me to my core'

HOUSE — 26M 14S AGO

America is learning the devastating power of teacher unions

OPINION — 34M 15S AGO

House Democrats draft coronavirus relief legislation includes \$15B for U.S. airlines

TRANSPORTATION — 36M 4S AGO

Manchin urges Biden to reverse on Keystone pipeline

ENERGY & ENVIRONMENT - 36M 28S AGO

VIEW ALL

View Latest Opinions >>

To be sure, producing and burning coal and oil have significant environmental impacts. But what goes unmentioned are the extensive benefits of affordable, reliable energy provided by coal and oil to make cheap electricity, power cars and underpin a modern economy.

2/9/2021, 2:18 PM 2 of 4

Intermittent solar and wind require almost 100 percent redundant and expensive backup power from natural gas plants or batteries to be reliable, which makes them more expensive to the consumer. That is partly why people in <u>California</u> and <u>Germany</u> pay much more for electricity. This higher cost is both regressive and inequitable to lower-income people.

China controls 50 to 70 percent of global <u>lithium</u>, <u>cobalt</u> and <u>polysilicon</u> and is aggressively acquiring other mined materials to make batteries, turbines and solar panels. As we move to electric vehicles (EVs), we are essentially shifting control of transportation fuels from OPEC to China. Is that more secure? Mining practices for EV metals are known to <u>violate human rights</u>, especially those of children. Do we want to promote that?

Democrats to go for emotion at Trump trial

Senate advances nomination of Biden EPA pick Regan

Wind, solar and batteries have a significant role to play, but it's time to stop pretending that they can provide all the benefits of coal and oil, with none of the negative effects. The Biden administration, as a proponent of science, has a chance to represent the complex social, legal, political, economic and, yes, scientific challenges of energy.

To "go green," we must "go honest" so that we can address and solve the real energy challenges before us.

Scott Tinker is a professor and the director of the Bureau of Economic Geology at The University of Texas at Austin.

TAGS USA CHINA GERMANY UK JOE BIDEN FOSSIL FUELS NUCLEAR POWER NUCLEAR ENERGY ENVIRONMENT BIDEN CLIMATE PLAN RENEWABLES SOLAR ENERGY ENERGY SECURITY EMISSIONS COAL OPEC



3 of 4 2/9/2021, 2:18 PM

THE HILL 1625 K STREET, NW SUITE 900 WASHINGTON DC 20006 | 202-628-8500 TEL | 202-628-8503 FAX THE CONTENTS OF THIS SITE ARE ©2021 CAPITOL HILL PUBLISHING CORP., A SUBSIDIARY OF NEWS COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

4 of 4 2/9/2021, 2:18 PM