



January 26, 2017

WacoTrib.com CENTRAL TEXAS NEWS, ALL DAY

Columns



HOME LOCAL BUSINESS OPINION OBITS SPORTS PHOTOS VIDEO ENTERTAINMENT BLOGS ADVERTISE CUSTOMER SERVICE

Today's Ads! BEARS EXTRA CONTESTS DEALS NEWSLETTERS SHOPBRAZOS SPECIAL SECTIONS WACOTRIBJOBS

LEGAL NOTICES

YOU COULD WIN A WEBER GRILL **Allen Samuels** CHEVROLET BUICK GMC
AllenSamuelsHearne.com • 254-278-9292

Scott W. Tinker, Texas Perspectives: National carbon emissions dropped without federal policy

Just In

Former Baylor employee alleges retaliation in latest Title IX lawsuit

Breaking posted: January 26

Story Comments Print Font Size: Share

Vince Carter is 40! Happy Birthday, Vinsanity! ACC Digital Network

Posted: Tuesday, October 4, 2016 12:01 am

SCOTT W. TINKER Texas Perspectives

The United States and China recently ratified the Paris Agreement on climate change, with the Obama administration's contribution being the Environmental Protection Agency's proposed Clean Power Plan — the largest climate-change regulation ever attempted in the United States — whose legality is currently being argued before the U.S. Court of Appeals in Washington, D.C.

Unfortunately, the public seems to know little about the Clean Power Plan, a point

Parent with Alzheimer's?

Home Care ASSISTANCE

Find out how we can help here.

WIN A WEBER GRILL

BIG GAME CHALLENGE
BROUGHT TO YOU BY **Allen Samuels**
CHEVROLET BUICK GMC

WT Waco Tribune-Herald
WT WacoTrib.com
CENTRAL TEXAS NEWS, ALL DAY

PLAY NOW

Tribune-Herald Editorial Board

Jim Wilson, Publisher

[Steve Boggs](#), Editor

[Bill Whitaker](#), Opinion editor

brought home to me recently when I spoke about energy to 200 accountants employed by one of the largest accounting firms. When I asked the group how many had heard of the Clean Power Plan, only about 10 people raised their hands.

The plan is being promoted as a means to accelerate a shift away from carbon dioxide-generating electricity fuels such as coal, oil and natural gas to lower CO2-generating sources such as wind and solar.

The EPA's website clearly states the overarching goal: "Nationwide, by 2030, the Clean Power Plan will help cut carbon emissions from the power sector by 30 percent from 2005 levels, while starting to make progress toward meaningful reductions in 2020."

Regardless of your perspective on climate and carbon, or on the legalities of the plan, it is valuable to examine some basic facts: The year 2005, the base year of the Clean Power Plan, is essentially tied with 2007 for the highest year of CO2 power-sector emissions in the United States at about 2,400 million metric tons. The 2030 emissions goal is a 30 percent reduction from 2005, or roughly 1,680 million metric tons.

In 2015, U.S. power-sector emissions were 1,900 million metric tons, already a 20 percent reduction from 2005. In fact, from 2005 to 2015, the United States reduced CO2 emissions more than any other major economy in the world.

In other words, by 2015, we had already reduced emissions by 20 percent without a Clean Power Plan or government carbon-price signal. Not only does the 30 percent goal in the plan not seem very ambitious, it appears misleading to trumpet a 30 percent target when 20 percent has already been achieved.

The 20-percent reduction in CO2 emissions from 2005 to 2015 happened in three ways: renewable energy such as hydroelectric, wind and solar increased; there was a recession, which dampened energy demand; and the use of natural gas nearly doubled, which had the biggest impact.

Natural gas grew quickly because hydraulic fracturing (fracking) of shales created new supplies, causing natural-gas prices to plummet. If the pace of CO2 reduction from 2005 to 2015 continues to 2030, CO2 emissions would be around 1,300 million metric tons — a 45 percent reduction from 2005 and substantially lower than the goals of the Clean Power Plan.

Confused? So am I. Why push for a Clean Power Plan if we are already two-thirds of the way there and headed — without federal intervention — lower than the ultimate goal of the plan?

As with most things in energy, politics is involved. Take the proposed EPA rate-based CO2 reduction burdens recommended by the Clean Power Plan for each state. If you arrange the states from left to right on a graph, you'll see that the half on the left with the least proposed CO2 reduction burden voted 75 percent Democrat in the 2012 presidential race; the half on the right with the greatest burden voted 66 percent

[Read daily editorials](#) • [Read Trib columns](#)

Video



More videos:



Explore Your World



Want to know more about your world? Check out searchable databases of public information in

the brand-new Data Source.

Popular searches:

- [Waco police arrest reports](#)
- [Waco area restaurant inspections](#)
- [Waco area divorces](#)
- [Waco area marriages](#)
- [Waco area bankruptcies](#)
- [Waco area foreclosures](#)

More coming soon: outstanding warrants, building standards and more. Keep checking back!




Republican.

We should not judge political motivation or intention, but we do need to look at actual outcomes. Government carbon interventions have not worked very well in other countries and, in fact they have often had the opposite effect on actual CO2 emissions. In contrast, the United States has made great progress thus far, even if not orchestrated, without federal policy or agency rules.

Back in the early 2000s, the Kyoto Protocol — a precursor to the Paris Agreement — set out a similar goal, but in fact had the reverse impact: CO2 emissions in developed nations remained essentially flat, but emissions in developing nations increased sharply.

Nonetheless, some still argue the Clean Power Plan is needed, perhaps on philosophical or moral grounds. But if the goal is actual reductions in CO2 emissions, the road to green is not always a federal highway.

Scott W. Tinker is the state geologist of Texas, the director of the Bureau of Economic Geology and the Allday Endowed Chair in the Jackson School of Geosciences at The University of Texas at Austin. He worked in the oil and gas industry for 17 years.



More about [Co2](#)

- ARTICLE: LETTERS: Readers express misgivings over the election of Donald Trump as president

More about [Emission](#)

- ARTICLE: Federal agency proposing more Texas emissions restrictions

Discuss

Print

Posted in GUEST COLUMNS, POLITICS, CHEMISTRY, ECONOMICS, INSTITUTES, MINISTRIES on

All Categories

Royal Manor Health Care	Royal Manor Health Facilities PRN Nurse, PRN CNAs, and More
Regent Care Center	Regent Care of Woodway LVNs, CNAs, CMAs, and More
TEXASLIFE	Texas Life Insurance Co. Billing Specialist
QUALITY CARE = WACO	Quality Care Certified Medical Aides, CNAs, and More

Please Select A Video Below!

FIND IT • MAP IT • BUY IT

Miracle Ear
Waco, TX 76710
[Map]
254-633-2580

Find Local Businesses

Search

Popular Searches | Browse By Category

Local Ads

MARSTALLER MOTORS

PRACO PAWN SHOP

EXCELLENT PRICES TREE SERVICE

Tuesday, October 4, 2016 12:01 am. | Tags: [CO2](#), [EMISSION](#), [CARBON](#), [CLIMATE CHANGE](#), [CLEAN POWER PLAN](#), [UNITED STATES](#), [CARBON EMISSIONS](#)

Similar Stories

- Roar of the crowd: Big Bend National Park fans say no wall necessary there
- Frank LoMonte, Minneapolis Star-Tribune: Privacy law increasingly abused by colleges and universities with something to hide
- The Findery is a real find: Women in Business
- Waco events calendar: February-March 2017
- James & Reid brings eye for design to new store: Women in Business

Most Read

- Harmony Science Academy employee arrested, accused of helping woman's suicide attempt
- Police recover stolen gun, drugs during traffic stop
- Retiring Waco superintendent takes aim at lawmakers' push for school vouchers
- Resident's tip leads to Hewitt drug raid
- Baylor football lands 6 more commitments

2 Comments

Sort by



Rodney H Mabry · Executive Director, Hibbs Institute for Business and economic Reserch at The University of Trxas at Tyler

What a great article. Many economists have often noted that an arm of government will frequently propose regulations the goal of which would likely be met by industry or others without the regulation. Those in government frequently just want to take credit for something. This concept has applied, for example, to certain increases in the minimum wage in the past.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · Oct 4, 2016 11:05am



Noble Drew Thomas · Baylor University

The insinuation that the Clean Power Plan is design to hurt red state economies is just false. The reason why red states tend to have the highest burden in carbon reduction is because they have the largest emissions. Take Texas for example. It is the largest CO2 emittor.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · Oct 4, 2016 4:52pm

Facebook Comments Plugin

Local Offers & Info

Epiphany Dermatology
Advanced skin care for all



The First National Bank of Central Texas

Familiar Faces Making Local Decisions
[View more local offers](#)

Find jobs in Waco: WacoTribJobs.com
Place an employment ad

RAISE IT! DON'T REPLACE IT!

How you can save your sinking concrete slab and WHY...

LEARN MORE

*Find out why we were **voted #1** in the H.O.T. Readers' Choice Awards*

Make an Appointment

LITTLE RIVER HEALTHCARE
WACO HEART & VASCULAR

TOP TEN: MOST VIEWED	
01	Harmony Science Academy employee arres... Jan 23 No Comments
02	Police recover stolen gun, drugs durin... Jan 25 No Comments
03	Retiring Waco superintendent takes aim... Jan 20 No Comments
04	Resident's tip leads to Hewitt drug raid Jan 20 No Comments
05	Man accused of exposing himself in Bus... Jan 19 No Comments
06	Pepper Hamilton denies claims of forme...

Jan 23 | No Comments

07 Man arrested, accused of biting 1-year...

Jan 24 | No Comments

08 Bellmead junkyard still littered with ...

Jan 21 | No Comments

09 Murder trial opens in 2012 shooting de...

Jan 24 | No Comments

10 TIF board approves \$1.7 million for tw...

Jan 19 | No Comments

- ACCOUNT**
- [My Account](#)
 - [Login](#)
 - [Subscribe](#)

- SOCIAL MEDIA**
-  [Facebook](#)
 -  [Twitter](#)
 -  [YouTube](#)

- MORE INFORMATION**
- [Terms of Service](#)
 - [Privacy Policy](#)
 - [Archives](#)