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1. Introduction

1.1. Background

Monitoring of groundwater resources above geologic carbon sequestration reservoirs is proposed to ensure that potable water supplies remain protected and also to establish that CO₂ is adequately sequestered with respect to the biosphere (IPCC, 2005). As extensive application of geologic CO₂ sequestration is considered worldwide, determination of the potential for widespread usage of shallow aquifer geochemistry for CO₂ storage evaluation, as well as the parameters best-suited for monitoring these resources, is important.

In a hypothesized scenario of leakage from a CO₂ injection reservoir, CO₂ may rise buoyantly from the injection zone through breaches in sealing formations (i.e., faults) (Chang et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2009) or leaky plugged and abandoned wells (Gasda et al., 2009; Fan et al., 2009), or CO₂ may be carried upward in CO₂-charged brine that is displaced by reservoir overpressuring (Bachu and Bennion, 2009; Nicot, 2008). In any case, CO₂ moving upward from a storage reservoir may eventually intersect and interact geochemically with fresh-water aquifers, rendering these environments fit for monitoring leakage.

The success of using shallow groundwater geochemistry as a tool for CO₂ storage evaluation depends on our ability to identify one or more geochemical parameters sensitive enough to provide a recognizable geochemical shift upon addition of exogenous CO₂ to the aquifer. This identification requires a shift that is larger than normal background variability and therefore detectable as an indicator of change. Widespread application of a standard method to a variety of geologic sequestration (GS) locations requires that the type and magnitude of geochemical shift are similar or at least predictable in any geochemical environment, even though hydro-chemical processes and mineralogies differ widely among aquifers. In the absence of such a parameter, costly and time-consuming characterization of each aquifer above a carbon storage site is
needed to formulate effective site-specific geochemical monitoring plans.

The current position of many researchers is that the predictable consequence of CO₂ input into a dilute aquifer is calcite dissolution leading to (1) decrease in pH; (2) increase in dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) as alkalinity or HCO₃⁻; (3) increase in Ca²⁺ and/or Mg²⁺ from dissolution of common carbonate minerals, calcite, and dolomite; and (4) eventual mobilization of metals from mineral dissolution and desorption reactions. Therefore, a popular suggestion is that carbonate parameters (pH, HCO₃⁻ and Ca²⁺) may be suitable for relatively early detection of exogenous input of CO₂ into groundwater resources. Because carbonates are kinetically more favorable to reaction with CO₂ than silicates, geochemical shifts can result, even when silicates predominate volumetrically (Blum et al., 1998).

Hypotheses have emerged from studies that incorporate a broad number of approaches from theoretical modeling using compila-
tion of aquifer databases (Apps et al., 2009; Carroll et al., 2009; Wang and Jaffe, 2004; Wilkin and DiGiulio, 2010; Zheng et al., 2009) to laboratory batch experiments (Lu et al., 2010; Yang et al., under revision), to controlled field experiments (Assayag et al., 2009; Kharaka et al., 2010). Although these approaches all yield useful information for assessing the geochemical outcomes of increased CO₂ in freshwater aquifers and their effects on drinking-water quality, they exclude the dynamic interplay of local hydrologic conditions on geochemistry.

For example, few, if any, researchers have included the possibility of dedolomitization in their assessments. This well-documented process (also known as incongruent dissolution) is widespread, occurring in aquifers around the world including in China (Ma et al., 2011), the Middle East (El-Naqa et al., 2007; Matter et al., 2005), the United States (Raines and Divers, 1997; Saunders and Toran, 1994; Deike, 1990), Canada (Stotler et al., 2011; Fu et al., 2008), the Mediterranean (Lorite-Herrera et al., 2008; Capaccioni et al., 2001), and Europe (Zeeh et al., 2000).

Dedolomitization occurs under a variety of sustained and episodic environmental conditions that produce mixing of dilute and concentrated Ca-rich waters in the presence of calcite and dolomite (Appelo and Postma, 2007; Back and Baedecker, 1989; Back et al., 1979, 1983; Bischoff et al., 1994; Hanshaw and Back, 1985; Pacheco and Szocs, 2006; Plummer and Back, 1980). Input of calcium ions via mixing with CaSO₄-type waters has been documented to occur through dissolution of interbedded gypsum (Cardenal et al., 1994; Plummer et al., 1990) or introduction of Ca₂⁺, Mg²⁺, and SO₄²⁻ into groundwater pumping (Appelo and Postma, 2007; Lopez-Chicano et al., 2001), or introduction of domestic leachates from fertilizers (Pacheco and Szocs, 2006).

Introduction of Ca₂⁺ into an aquifer can also result from input of Na⁺-rich waters, accompanied by cation exchange. For example, cation exchange driven by mixing has been documented at the freshwater/saltwater interface in the Netherlands and on the Nile delta (Appelo and Postma, 2007). Na⁺ may also enter aquifers and drive cation exchange via leaching of NaCl brines deposited in unlined surface pits. In the SACROC (Scurry Area Canyon Reef Operators Committee) area of this study, as well as throughout Texas and many US oil-producing regions, significant volumes (on the order of millions of tonnes/year) of NaCl brines co-produced with oil from the 1940s through the 1960s were disposed of into unlined surface pits. In one example from 1961 (Burnett et al., 1963), more than 9 million tonnes of co-produced saltwater was disposed of into unlined surface pits located directly on outcrops of the Ogallala Formation, which contains a major aquifer on the Texas High Plains. The result was direct contamination of large volumes (on the order of hundreds of millions of liters) of fresh groundwater (Ludwig, 1972). Large contaminant plumes are predicted to persist for hundreds of years, adding slugs of salts to aquifers with each surface recharge event (Fryberger, 1972; Pettyjohn, 1982).

Appelo and Postma (2007) described the mass balance for the dedolomitization reaction driven by input of calcium ions by dissolution of gypsum or anhydrite as:

\[
\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{CaMg(CO}_3\text{)}_2 \rightarrow \text{Mg}^{2+} + 2\text{CaCO}_3, \\
\]

where 1 mol of dolomite dissolves for every 2 mol of calcite that precipitates. The equilibrium condition for this reaction at 25 °C is represented by Mg²⁺/Ca²⁺ = 0.8, irrespective of the absolute values of these two ions in the system. Ratios of Mg²⁺/Ca²⁺ < 0.8 therefore represent a system that favors dolomite dissolution and calcite precipitation (dedolomitization). The mass transfer necessary to conserve the equilibrium condition of Mg²⁺/Ca²⁺ = 0.8 indicates that Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, and CO₃²⁻ should all increase during dedolomitization that is driven by dissolution of CaSO₄.

1.2. Research objectives and approach

To test methodologies for CO₂ storage evaluation using shallow aquifers, we targeted a potable water source (Dockum aquifer) above a long-duration (>35 years) large-scale (~250 km²²) engineered CO₂ injection site in western Texas. The SACROC oil field in Scurry County, currently operated by Kinder Morgan (KM), has injected CO₂ for enhanced oil recovery (EOR) since 1972, with approximately 50% recovery and recycling of injected CO₂. Between 1972 and 2009, 175 Mmt (million metric tonnes) of CO₂ was injected, with 78 Mmt of CO₂ recovered (Kinder Morgan, personal communication, 2009). The balance of CO₂ is most likely seques-
tered within the deep-injection formation; there is no evidence that injectate CO₂ has entered the Dockum aquifer above SACROC (Smyth et al., 2009), and the site provides a field-based investiga-
tion of the potential of groundwater chemistry to be used as an early detection monitoring tool at this and other geological sequestration (GS) sites. We specifically target carbonate parameters that have gained attention in the literature owing to their apparent simplicity, cost effectiveness, and potential for widespread application at GS sites.

To accomplish our objective, we combined data from ground-
water samples collected in the SACROC area (both inside and outside the boundaries of the SACROC oilfield) with regional his-
torical data from the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB). We first identified overall geochemical trends in the aquifer and used major element variates. After major trends were identi-
fied, the response of carbonate parameters such as DIC, alkalinity, pH, and calcium to an input of CO₂ were assessed within the defi-
ned hydrogeochemical framework and compared with other types of environments.

2. Field site and geologic setting

SACROC oil field in Scurry County near Snyder, Texas, lies on the east edge of the Permian Basin in western Texas (Fig. 1). Oil production is from the Pennsylvanian/Permian Cisco and Canyon Reef Formations at a depth of about 2050 m (Anderson, 1954; Olien and Olien, 1982). Oil-bearing carbonates are overlain by salt, anhydrite, dolomite, limestone, and redbeds deposited in a variety of environ-
ments ranging from subtidal to supratidal (Gustavson, 1986). The overlying Triassic Dockum Group houses the potable water source that is investigated in this study.

Triassic sediments of the Dockum Group can be divided into upper and lower sections: the Lower Dockum, containing braided-
stream, channel-related facies and overbank deposits, and the overlying, finer-grained Upper Dockum, dominated by floodplain and lacustrine muds and silts (Ashworth and Hopkins, 1995; Ewing et al., 2008; McGowen et al., 1979). Although mostly buried
beneath sediments of the overlying Quaternary Blackwater Draw and Eocene Ogallala Formations in the Southern High Plains (SHP) to the west, the Dockum Group is the predominant outcropping unit in the Rolling Plains to the east. The exception is in the field area in Scurry County where the Upper Dockum has been eroded and a unique remnant of overlying Ogallala trends NW-SE from Garza to Nolan County (Fig. 1).

Although not used as a major water resource, the Dockum is locally important, serving as the source of local public water supply, irrigation for farming, livestock management, and oil-field operations (Bradley and Kalaswad, 2003). Groundwater in the Dockum Group is fresh to brackish and is locally impacted by dissolution of evaporite deposits in underlying Permian formations (Bradley and Kalaswad, 2003; Dutton, 1989). This impact is due to interaction between two regional aquifers which include (1) the SHP aquifer residing in Tertiary Ogallala and Triassic Dockum sediments down to the evaporite-bearing Permian strata (Richter and Kreitler, 1986), and (2) the underlying Paleozoic “deep basin brine” aquifer (DBB) that is separated from the overlying SHP aquifer by the Permian evaporite aquitard composed of halite, anhydrite, carbonate, and mudstone (Bassett et al., 1981; Jorgensen et al., 1988).

Flow within both aquifers is predominantly eastward (McNeal, 1965), with discharge points for the DBB east of the Texas Panhandle in north-central Texas (Jorgensen et al., 1988) and discharge points for the SHP aquifer in the Rolling Plains near the SACROC study area. Potentiometric pressures of the Dockum Group aquifer in some areas indicate the potential for downward flow into the upper part of the evaporite aquitard (Fink, 1963), causing a zone of dissolution referred to as a salt dissolution zone. Meteoric groundwater percolating upward into the updip Permian halite deposits moves eastward along highly transmissive dolomite and gypsum layers, discharging in topographically low areas (Simpkkins and Fogg, 1982; Gustavson et al., 1980). These mixed waters are transported through fractures from the dissolution zone to the surface, interacting with freshwater along the way (Fink, 1963; Jorgensen et al., 1988). Salt springs attributed to dissolution of underlying Permian sequences of gypsum, halite, and dolomite are well documented north of Scurry County in Garza, Kent, and Stonewall Counties (Richter and Kreitler, 1986; Richter et al., 1990; Stevens, 1974).

3. Materials and methods

3.1. Sample collection and analyses

Approximately 100 fresh to slightly saline groundwater samples from the Triassic-age Dockum Group and the Paleocene-Eocene Ogallala Formation and 8 samples from Permian-age evaporite-bearing sandstones were collected from 59 private water wells (15–150 m deep) and 1 spring during 5 water sampling trips between June 2006 and November 2008. Ten samples of brines, co-produced from the 1800 to 2100 m injection/production zone were also analyzed. Additional geochemical data on co-produced brines were provided by KM, and historical records for Dockum and Permian water geochemistry collected by the TWDB between 1956 and 2008 from eight Texas counties were also added to the data set. Two samples of Dockum drill cuttings from depth intervals of 137 to 140 m and 112 to 116 m were examined for mineralogy using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with the aid of an energy-dispersive X-ray system.

Samples from the water wells and spring were analyzed in the field for alkalinity, temperature, pH, specific conductivity, and dissolved oxygen. Major cations and trace metals were analyzed at Los Alamos National Laboratory by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) and inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) using US Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA) approved analytical methods 200.7 and 200.8 (US EPA, 1994, 2001). Inorganic anion samples were analyzed by ion chromatography (IC) following EPA approved analytical method 300.0 (US EPA, 1993). Total dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and total dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) were analyzed using combustion according to EPA method 415.1 (US EPA, 1999). Analytical data were evaluated using standard graphical and statistical tests, including regression and correlation approaches.

3.2. Sensitivity analyses

To understand how systems react to CO₂ and to discern the geochemical parameters most useful for monitoring GS sites, two types of analyses were developed: one that addresses how carbonate parameters will respond to different inputs of CO₂ within a given system and one that addresses the range of geochemical responses that would arise from geologic variability among sites. Both analyses use the response of carbonate parameters such as calcite and dolomite dissolution, DIC, HCO₃⁻, pH, and Ca²⁺ to variations in CO₂ input.

The first analysis determines the sensitivity of the Dockum aquifer above SACROC to different magnitudes of CO₂ input. This approach achieves two important goals: (1) to illustrate the magnitude of CO₂ input that would be necessary to discern a leakage signal from background noise at the SACROC site and (2) to evaluate the sensitivity of individual carbonate parameters to CO₂ within that system. If the system is sensitive to CO₂ input, geochemical parameters may be useful for monitoring because they would signal a leak in its early stages, providing greater options for protecting resources and/or remediation.

The second type of analysis considers the degree to which hydrogeologic variability can affect how carbonate parameters behave in the presence of CO₂. This analysis considers the importance of understanding the specific hydrogeochernical characteristics of each aquifer that exists over a GS site, evaluates the importance of detailed characterization of each aquifer system, and determines the degree to which assumptions can be made on the basis of small data sets. For example, if the magnitude and direction of change of geochemical parameters are similar in any environment, these parameters will be useful for monitoring GS sites. If, however, site-specific conditions create wide-ranging effects and outcomes, their usefulness is decreased because intricate and costly characterizations at each site would be necessary.

Geochemical change upon addition of CO₂ into an aquifer is quantified by calculating the percent difference between the concentrations of the geochemical parameter before and after adding CO₂ into a system on the basis of the following equation:

\[
\text{\% Change} = \left( \frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_1} \right) \times 100, \tag{2}
\]

where \(x_1\) is the beginning composition of the parameter being analyzed and \(x_2\) is the ending composition of that parameter after CO₂ input. This type of analysis identifies the response of the system and forms the basis for comparing the sensitivity of individual geochemical parameters.

A similar approach was used to estimate how various aquifers with site-specific geochemical characteristics reacted to CO₂ input, providing information on the error that would result, for example, if the simple model of calcite dissolution were assumed in an aquifer that was experiencing dedolomitization. In this case, it is appropriate to use the equation for percent error:

\[
\text{\% Error} = \left( \frac{\text{Assumed} - \text{Actual}}{\text{Actual}} \right) \times 100, \tag{3}
\]

where Assumed is the value calculated under the assumption of calcite dissolution and Actual is the value that would be expected from our model under dedolomitization. Unlike the percent-difference calculation in Eq. (2), this result will give a directional analysis, indicating whether the error would underestimate or overestimate the actual value.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Sediment reactivity in the Dockum formation

Dockum aquifer sediments are predominantly siliciclastic, with small amounts (1%) of diagenetic and detrital calcite. Visual inspection of Dockum well cuttings collected from SACROC indicates a general composition of 60–80% quartz, 10–20% feldspar, 15% dark rock fragments, and minor carbonate cements. Results from SEM analyses show mineralogical content of quartz > K-feldspar > albite > dolomite > calcite. Quartz grains show no evidence of overgrowth or corrosion; however, feldspars show limited dissolution features. Clay, mostly smectite, coats most mineral grains. Dolomite (5%) occurs as ubiquitous rhombic crystals that often exhibit corrosion, suggesting dissolution.

Degree of influence of carbonate minerals in the predominantly siliciclastic Dockum aquifer was assessed using the relative abundances of SiO₂ and HCO₃⁻ after Hounslow (1995). This method uses an arbitrary boundary of HCO₃⁻/SiO₂ < 5 to indicate dominance of silicate weathering and a ratio >10 to indicate dominance of carbonate weathering. Data collected near SACROC and from the TWDB database (Fig. 2) show that, in spite of the volumetric dominance of silicates in the Dockum, samples are geochemically dominated by carbonate weathering. Regional data (shown as open triangles) also indicate the same importance of carbonate geochemistry, with a relatively minor influence of silicate weathering most likely representing feldspar dissolution. Overall, these geochemical relationships suggest that even small amounts of carbonate in an aquifer (1–5% in this case) may yield geochemical conditions receptive to changes in CO₂, supporting the hypothesis that carbonate parameters may be useful indicators of leakage. This conclusion is in direct opposition to that of Wilkin and DiGiulio (2010), who argued that a quartz-rich aquifer would be nonreactive.

4.2. Major element trends

Piper diagrams (Piper, 1944) are common graphical tools for defining water types and the geochemical trends that result
from mineral reactions, mixing among different waters, and ion exchange. Normalized values for major cations (lower-left triangle), anions (lower-right triangle), and a combination of the two (central diamond) were used to assess the major geochemical influences on the Dockum (Fig. 3). Dockum samples plot in all quadrants of the piper diagram, indicating a geochemical environment with many influences. As expected, Permian waters that reside in gypsum-containing formations plot as CaSO₄-type waters. Waters co-produced with oil at SACROC are predominantly NaCl type. General trends on the anions triangle indicate two types of mixing: most (64%) samples outside SACROC appear to trend toward Permian compositions, whereas samples inside SACROC (and a few [36%] outside SACROC) appear to trend toward produced-water compositions indicating that variable amounts of mixing of Dockum water with Permian and co-produced brines affect the geochemistry of the Dockum at SACROC.

A trend stretching from Na⁺ to Ca²⁺ on the cation triangle further suggests that input of NaCl brines into the Dockum results in the exchange of Na⁺ for Ca²⁺ on exchange sites. In the case of SACROC, the exchange of Na⁺ in solution for Ca²⁺ sorbed to clays is fueled by mixing of Dockum and produced waters. Therefore, two mechanisms exist by which calcium ions are added to the shallow groundwater system: one, a natural process inherent to the regional system (mixing with CaSO₄-type waters in the salt dissolution zone), and the other resulting from land-use practices (mixing with co-produced brines and cation exchange). Evidence that both processes are at work can be seen in relatively weak co-variation (R² = 0.0797) between Ca²⁺ and SO₄²⁻ for all the data evaluated in the study that strengthens significantly (R² = 0.8716) when the NaCl component is added and cation exchange is considered (Fig. 4).

A general decrease in Cl⁻ with depth (not shown) indicates that NaCl input is likely from historical disposal of brine into surface pits rather than from brine migrating from the deep production reservoir.

4.3. Dedolomitization and CO₂ input

The process of dedolomitization in the Dockum aquifer near SACROC is indicated by co-variation between Mg²⁺ (an indicator of dolomite dissolution) and SO₄²⁻ (an indicator of Ca²⁺ input) with Mg²⁺/Ca²⁺ < 0.8 (Fig. 5). An environment that is undergoing dedolomitization is very different from an environment that experiences simple calcite dissolution. Whereby calcite dissolution is driven by CO₂ according to the reaction.

\[
\text{CO}_2(aq) + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CaCO}_3 \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{HCO}_3^-,
\]

dedolomitization is driven by calcium ions, which combine with carbonate supplied by dolomite dissolution to form calcite (Eq. [1]). The driving forces [reactants] and outcomes [products] of these two environments are different, illustrating the potential importance of defining the system before predicting the effects of CO₂.

With the overall geochemical processes in Dockum aquifer system defined, the PHREEQC code (Parkhurst and Appelo, 1999) was used to constrain the role of CO₂ in a system dominated by dedolomitization rather than calcite dissolution. During calcite dissolution fueled by CO₂ (Eq. (4)), 1 mol of Ca²⁺ and 2 mol of HCO₃⁻ are produced, and data will trend along a line with a slope of 0.5 on a plot of Ca²⁺ versus HCO₃⁻ (arrow labeled as “Calcite dissolution” in Fig. 6). As expected, data do not follow calcite dissolution trends but, rather, fall within a range of trends modeled for dedolomitization under several conditions of constant PCO₂. These trends were constructed by mixing 25 mmol of CaSO₄ to Dockum water initially.

Fig. 3. Piper diagram showing compositions of samples from Dockum and Permian formations collected by the BEG during the study. Also shown are analyses provided by Kinder Morgan for produced brines and historical Dockum analyses furnished by the TWDB.
in equilibrium with calcite and dolomite at various fixed $\text{PCO}_2$. Data correspond to these trends and generally lie within the boundaries defined by the range of constant $\text{PCO}_2$ from $10^{-2.7}$ to $10^{-1.5}$ (Fig. 6).

During dedolomitization under constant $\text{PCO}_2$, geochemical evolution progresses from high to low $\text{HCO}_3^-$ and from high to low $\text{Ca}^{2+}$. This progression is in contrast to the trend for calcite dissolution, which is from low to high $\text{HCO}_3^-$ and $\text{Ca}^{2+}$. The presence of dissolved bicarbonate in the initial solution slows dolomite dissolution in the early stages of reaction by supplying some anions necessary for calcite precipitation, after which dissolved bicarbonate and calcium are quickly used, resulting in decreasing $\text{HCO}_3^-$ and relatively steady $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ concentrations. As the dissolved bicarbonate in the initial water is consumed, dolomite dissolves and calcite precipitates, and the kinetics of dissolution/precipitation reactions control ion concentrations in the water. If the system re-equilibrates to a higher constant $\text{PCO}_2$ under the normal aquifer conditions observed at the study site ($\text{PCO}_2$ from $10^{-1.5}$ to $10^{-1.0}$), the mass of dolomite dissolution increases, the mass of calcite precipitation decreases, $\text{HCO}_3^-$ increases, and pH decreases, all at higher constant $\text{PCO}_2$. Without knowledge of this system, we might wrongly assess that high bicarbonate samples ($\sim$ 10 mmol) are anomalously impacted by $\text{CO}_2$. However, with the knowledge that the system is undergoing dedolomitization in response to complex mixing and cation exchange relationships, we understand that these samples are actually the least “evolved” or reacted in the system.

![Figure 4](image1.png)  
**Fig. 4.** Co-variation of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ with $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ (left) is not particularly strong, indicating $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ is not solely supplied to the system by mixing with Permian CaSO$_4$ waters. Addition of a NaCl produced water component with cation exchange (right) shows even stronger correlation, indicating both processes contribute to the input of calcium ions to the shallow aquifer.

![Figure 5](image2.png)  
**Fig. 5.** Co-variation of $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ indicates a chemical driving force for dedolomitization.

![Figure 6](image3.png)  
**Fig. 6.** Modeling results for evolution of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\text{HCO}_3^-$ during calcite dissolution and dedolomitization. Modeled curves for dedolomitization under constant $\text{PCO}_2$ are shown for $10^{-1.5}$, $10^{-1.0}$, and $10^{-1.7}$. 

In this system, the kinetic controls on dissolution and precipitation as well as the chemical driving force for dedolomitization are influenced by the interactions between the initial water chemistry, the dissolution/precipitation reactions, and the mixing with the Permian CaSO$_4$ waters.
4.4. Sensitivity of the system to CO2 input

With the geochemical system defined, sensitivity of the system to CO2 input can be predicted. When the data in Fig. 6 are visually compared with the modeled trends for constant PCO2, the data can be perceived to trend toward higher PCO2 with geochemical evolution. For example, most samples with relatively low Ca2+ of about 2 mmol cluster near an average modeled constant PCO2 trend of about 10^-2; however, more geochemically evolved samples with higher Ca2+ concentrations of about 7 mmol, cluster near higher modeled PCO2 of 10^-1.5, suggesting that CO2 is building in the system.

The observed phenomenon of increasing PCO2 could result from CO2–EOR practices; however, no geochemical distinction has been observed between samples collected inside and outside SACROC that would suggest impact from CO2 injection. In addition, modern data collected during the study both inside and outside SACROC show no geochemical distinction from historical regional data collected by TWDB from areas spatially and/or temporally removed from CO2 injection. Groundwater quality inside SACROC is found to not be significantly degraded when compared against EPA drinking water standards (Smyth et al., 2009). Redox reactions producing HCO3^-, especially sulfate reduction, may play a role; however, aquifer conditions were highly oxidizing. We conclude that increases in PCO2 represent normal system degassing during dedolomitization.

To simulate the perturbations that would occur if the potable aquifer were to receive CO2 from the storage reservoir as the result of a leak, we performed a sensitivity analysis based in the system model constructed from the field data at SACROC. In the case of leakage into an aquifer experiencing dedolomitization, two mass transfers into the aquifer occur simultaneously and affect the intricate interplay between HCO3^- and Ca2+: (1) mass transfer of Ca2+ from mixing and/or cation exchange and (2) mass transfer of CO2 from a simulated leak. Ca2+ input is essentially a function of the hydrodynamic factors producing mixing and is assumed to be a steady-state process for each environment that is modeled. With a constant rate of mixing and thus constant mass flux of Ca2+ into the system, a leakage signal can be modeled as an increase in CO2 input, which, when compared with a defined steady influx of Ca2+, manifests as an increase in CO2/Ca2+. Alternatively, this ratio can also be varied and used to represent different conditions of mixing that exist in different aquifers having different hydrodynamics. Because CO2/Ca2+ is independent of absolute fluxes, the ratio can be used to represent a variety of different environmental fluxes and conditions.

The initial sensitivity simulation was structured to define the amount of CO2 needed to create the observed CO2 increase exhibited in the natural system as defined by the SACROC field data in Fig. 6. A starting water composition was chosen at the point in the system where PCO2 shifts to higher values (about 4.5 mmol HCO3^- and 1 mmol Ca2+). The ending composition was chosen to represent the magnitude of the shift that was visually observed in the dataset (about 5 mmol HCO3^- and 9 mmol Ca2+). Model results indicate that the shift toward higher PCO2 in the field data is consistent with the addition of 1.5 mmol of CO2 and 15 mmol CaSO4 at a CO2/Ca2+ ratio of 0.1.

CO2 was then added to the system using randomly chosen CO2/Ca2+ ratios of 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, and 10 to investigate how different mixing conditions manifested similar CO2 inputs. The evolutionary trends for the varying CO2/Ca2+ ratios are shown in Fig. 7 (broken lines), along with calcite dissolution (solid line) for comparison purposes. Results show trends different from the evolution of waters modeled under constant PCO2 (Fig. 6). In systems with less input from mixing (i.e., with greater CO2/Ca2+), reaction pathways shifted toward higher HCO3^- and lower Ca2+ and more closely mimicked calcite dissolution, although the modeled simulation predicted that dolomite continued to dissolve and calcite continued to precipitate.

Points of equal CO2 input (1.5 mmol and 6 mmol) into each environment defined by a specific relative rate of mass transfer (CO2/Ca2+) and for straight calcite dissolution are shown by solid triangles in Fig. 7. Model output is presented (Table 1) for addition of 1.5, 6, and 50 mmol of CO2. The high margin (50 mmol) was randomly chosen to represent an order of magnitude higher input of CO2 than was modeled using the SACROC data. Background geochemical variability at SACROC is represented by the spread of regional data collected outside SACROC, as indicated by the shaded area in Fig. 7. Sensitivity analysis shows that 6 mmol of CO2 is necessary to achieve Ca2+ and HCO3^- compositions outside of background concentrations for this specific system. Also evident from the simulations is that a system undergoing calcite dissolution will be slightly less sensitive to CO2 input than one undergoing dedolomitization.

To understand the significance of 6 mmol of CO2 input into an aquifer with regard to a leakage rate from a storage formation, we performed a mass balance exercise using anthropogenic emissions expected from a 500-MW (Megawatt) power plant, which is assumed to produce and store up to 3 million tonnes/year of CO2 (MIT Interdisciplinary Study, 2010). Assumptions are: (1) a saturated aquifer thickness of 60 m with a porosity of 0.30, (2) an area of consideration within the aquifer of 1 ha (10^4 m^2), and (3) that any CO2 leaked from the storage reservoir is instantaneously and equally distributed throughout the area of consideration. We discerned, through careful inspection and modeling of the hydrochemical environment at SACROC, that the CO2 concentration necessary to produce a geochemical signature above background conditions is 6 mmol/L. This equates to 4.75 × 10^8 g of CO2 within the 1-ha area and represents a 0.001% leakage rate of the total yearly output from a 500-MW power plant. The system appears sensitive enough to detect a relatively small leak; however, because the model conservatively assumes instantaneous distribution throughout the area of consideration, the actual measurement of change will depend on the type and areal distribution of the leak. For example, if the leak enters the aquifer as a point source, the geochemical signal will be strong but difficult to locate spatially. If the leak enters the aquifer more diffusely, the geochemical signal will be weaker but easier to
| Model output for addition of varying amounts of CO₂ into different carbonate systems. Starting composition is shown and is the same for each simulation. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CO₂, Ca²⁺ | CO₂ added (mmol) | Ca²⁺ added (mmol) | Δ calcite (mmol) | Δ dolomite (mmol) | log/P_CO₂ (mmol) | DIC (mmol) | HCO₃⁻ (mmol) | pH (mmol) | Mg²⁺ (mmol) | Ca²⁺ (mmol) |
| 1.5 mmol CO₂ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Start composition | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | -2.36 | 4.87 | 4.60 | 7.77 | 0.69 | 1.06 |
| 0.1 | 1.5 | 15 | 14.10 | -7.25 | -1.44 | 6.61 | 4.88 | 6.84 | 7.94 | 0.95 |
| 0.5 | 1.5 | 3 | 3.01 | -1.81 | -1.58 | 6.97 | 5.73 | 7.07 | 7.13 | 1.84 |
| 1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.00 | -1.15 | -1.62 | 7.07 | 5.96 | 7.13 | 7.13 | 1.84 |
| 2 | 1.5 | 0.75 | 0.89 | -0.83 | -1.65 | 7.13 | 6.09 | 7.17 | 7.17 | 1.75 |
| 10 | 1.5 | 0.15 | 0.33 | -0.58 | -1.68 | 7.19 | 6.22 | 7.22 | 7.22 | 1.27 |
| Calcite dissolution | 1.5 | n/a | -0.69 | n/a | -1.62 | 7.06 | 5.98 | 7.14 | 0.69 | 1.76 |
| 6 mmol CO₂ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Start composition | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | -2.36 | 4.87 | 4.60 | 7.77 | 0.69 | 1.06 |
| 0.1 | 6 | 60 | 56.1 | -28.7 | -0.91 | 12.30 | 6.50 | 6.39 | 29.40 | 33.70 |
| 0.5 | 6 | 12 | 11.3 | -6.7 | -0.98 | 12.90 | 6.32 | 6.63 | 7.39 | 6.44 |
| 1 | 6 | 6 | 5.68 | -4.02 | -1.02 | 13.20 | 6.97 | 6.69 | 4.71 | 5.40 |
| 2 | 6 | 3 | 2.86 | -2.71 | -1.04 | 13.40 | 9.44 | 6.74 | 3.40 | 3.91 |
| 10 | 6 | 0.6 | 0.602 | -1.7 | -1.07 | 13.70 | 9.95 | 6.80 | 3.39 | 2.75 |
| Calcite dissolution | 6 | n/a | -2.34 | n/a | -1.02 | 13.20 | 12.12 | 6.71 | 0.69 | 3.40 |
| 50 mmol CO₂ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Start composition | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | -2.36 | 4.87 | 4.60 | 7.77 | 0.69 | 1.06 |
| 0.1 | 50 | 500 | 49.4 | -28.7 | -0.91 | 12.30 | 6.50 | 6.39 | 29.40 | 33.70 |
| 0.5 | 50 | 100 | 11.3 | -6.7 | -0.98 | 12.90 | 6.32 | 6.63 | 7.39 | 6.44 |
| 1 | 50 | 50 | 5.68 | -4.02 | -1.02 | 13.20 | 6.97 | 6.69 | 4.71 | 5.40 |
| 2 | 50 | 25 | 2.86 | -2.71 | -1.04 | 13.40 | 9.44 | 6.74 | 3.40 | 3.91 |
| 10 | 50 | 5 | 0.602 | -1.7 | -1.07 | 13.70 | 9.95 | 6.80 | 3.39 | 2.75 |
| Calcite dissolution | 50 | n/a | -8.94 | n/a | -1.02 | 13.20 | 12.12 | 6.71 | 0.69 | 9.70 |
locate spatially. The calculation described herein is more applicable to the latter case.

To identify which carbonate parameter is best suited for monitoring at a variety of sites, how each parameter responds during carbonate dissolution and dedolomitization must be understood. The most desirable parameter will have the highest sensitivity to increasing CO₂ input (i.e., the largest change), and the magnitude and direction of its change should be similar, and, therefore, predictable, in any environment. The modeled responses of DIC, Ca²⁺, HCO₃⁻, and pH to increasing CO₂ input are presented in Fig. 8 for CO₂/Ca²⁺ = 0.1 and 10 and for calcite dissolution, representing the range of expected environmental responses. Percent change for each parameter with increasing CO₂ input (calculated using Eq. (2)) is noted for each simulation.

Of the four parameters, DIC has the highest sensitivity with the largest and most consistent percent changes from the starting composition (1171%, 1194%, and 1243% for CO₂/Ca²⁺ = 0.1, calcite dissolution, and CO₂/Ca²⁺ = 10, respectively). Although Ca²⁺ also shows relatively high sensitivity, its percent change is largely variable (ranging from 774% to 25,843%) and unpredictable and depends heavily on the flux of Ca²⁺ into the system via mixing. Predicting this outcome necessitates knowledge of mixing relationships, which requires in-depth characterization of hydrodynamics, therefore disqualifying Ca²⁺ as a useful global monitoring

Table 2
Percent error that would result if a system undergoing dedolomitization were assessed assuming calcite dissolution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CO₂/Ca²⁺</th>
<th>CO₂ added (mmol)</th>
<th>Ca²⁺ added (mmol)</th>
<th>DIC (% error)</th>
<th>HCO₃⁻ (% error)</th>
<th>pH (% error)</th>
<th>Ca²⁺ (% error)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 mmol CO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>−2.4</td>
<td>−26.5</td>
<td>−5.2</td>
<td>4160.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>−5.9</td>
<td>−1.9</td>
<td>971.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>−0.7</td>
<td>582.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>392.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>246.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 mmol CO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>−2.5</td>
<td>−43.3</td>
<td>−7.5</td>
<td>33813.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>−1.1</td>
<td>−18.8</td>
<td>−3.0</td>
<td>7276.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>−8.2</td>
<td>−1.7</td>
<td>3972.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>−0.5</td>
<td>2334.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1059.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
parameter. HCO$_3^-$ exhibits the next-greatest sensitivity (ranging from 1556% to 420% change from initial composition), but with a highly variable magnitude. This variability is due to pH dependence of the distribution of carbonate species (H$_2$CO$_3$, HCO$_3^-$, CO$_3^{2-}$) in natural waters, which complicates interpretation of HCO$_3^-$ concentrations with regard to the mass of CO$_2$ that has entered the aquifer. Relatively consistent magnitude and range of change are shown by the response of pH (28%, 23%, and 22% for CO$_2$/Ca$^{2+} = 0.1$, calcite dissolution, and CO$_2$/Ca$^{2+} = 10$, respectively), but the sensitivity of this parameter is quite small.

Similarly, the percent errors (calculated using Eq. (3)) that would be incurred using each parameter to assess a system undergoing dedolomitization under the assumption of calcite dissolution are presented in Table 2 for 6 and 50 mmol CO$_2$ input. Errors for calcium concentrations are large (from 246% to 33,813%) and again disqualify this parameter as one useful for monitoring. Of the remaining parameters (DIC, HCO$_3^-$, and pH), errors are bidirectional, with some dedolomitization environments yielding parameter concentrations lower and some higher than expected from calcite dissolution. HCO$_3^-$ shows the largest ranges in error (−26.5% to 12.6% for 6 mmol CO$_2$ input and −43.3% to 14.9% for 50 mmol CO$_2$ input), DIC (−2.4% to 8.7% and −2.5% to 3.0% for 6 and 50 mmol CO$_2$ input, respectively) and pH (−5.2% to 0.9% and −7.5% to 1.0% for 6 and 50 mmol CO$_2$ input, respectively) exhibit smaller ranges in error. Results indicate that changes due to CO$_2$ input in an uncharacterized system would best be predicted and quantified using DIC as a monitoring parameter due to its high sensitivity to CO$_2$ and predictable behavior in any hydrologic environment.

5. Conclusions

Carbonate geochemical parameters (e.g., pH, Ca$^{2+}$, and HCO$_3^-$) are widely proposed as being suitable for shallow aquifer monitoring above carbon storage sites based on the assumption that calcite dissolution will result from CO$_2$ leakage into an aquifer. A field study and modeling of the Dockum aquifer above the SACROC CO$_2$-enhanced oil recovery site indicates that calcite dissolution cannot be assumed because dedolomitization is the dominant hydrogeochemical process in this aquifer. Dedolomitization at SACROC is fueled by input of Ca$^{2+}$ by two mechanisms: (1) a natural process inherent to the regional system which creates mixing of freshwater with CaSO$_4$-type waters in a salt dissolution zone, and (2) human-induced land-use practices that cause mixing of freshwater with co-produced brines resulting in cation exchange and release of Ca$^{2+}$ into the aquifer. Although dedolomitization is a common process in aquifers worldwide, it has not been considered in predictions of how aquifers would respond to CO$_2$.

Sensitivity analyses of systems subject to dedolomitization and those subject to calcite dissolution suggest that both systems are significantly sensitive to CO$_2$ input. The aquifer at SACROC would require an input of only 6 mmol CO$_2$ to elevate geochemical concentrations of HCO$_3^-$ and Ca$^{2+}$ to levels above background, which is roughly equivalent to detecting a 0.001% leakage rate from a 500-MW power plant. However, parameters commonly suggested as leakage indicators in potable aquifers (pH, HCO$_3^-$ and Ca$^{2+}$) are variably sensitive to CO$_2$ input and exhibit unpredictable behavior in a range of natural systems. Such behavior would therefore require detailed characterization of each aquifer above a CO$_2$ storage site to develop monitoring criteria. Model results show that DIC is highly sensitive to CO$_2$ and will react similarly and predictably in a variety of geologic environments undergoing dedolomitization and/or calcite dissolution. The implication for monitoring GS sites is that expensive characterization of fundamental geochemical processes may not be necessary for monitoring CO$_2$ input in shallow aquifers if DIC is used as a monitoring parameter.
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