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INTRODUCTION

The Texas Coastal Monitoring Program engages people who live along the Texas coast in the study of their natural environment. High school students, teachers, and scientists work together to gain a better understanding of dune and beach dynamics there. Scientists from The University of Texas at Austin (UT) provide the tools and training needed for scientific investigation. Students and teachers learn how to measure the topography, map the vegetation line and shoreline, and observe weather and wave conditions. By participating in an actual research project, the students obtain an enhanced science education. Public awareness of coastal processes and the Texas Coastal Management Program is heightened through this program. The students' efforts also provide coastal communities with valuable data on their changing shoreline.

This report describes the program and our experiences during the 2006–2007 academic year. During this time, Ball High School on Galveston Island completed its tenth year in the program, and Port Aransas and Port Isabel High Schools completed their eighth year (Fig. 1). All three high schools are continuing the program during the 2007–2008 academic year. Through a collaboration with the Lower Colorado River Authority, the program has expanded to an additional three schools in the Bay City, Texas, region. Discussions of the data collected by the students and recommendations for future high school projects are also included in this report. A manual with detailed field procedures, field forms, classroom exercises, and teaching materials was prepared during the first year of the project at Ball High School in 1997–1998. The manual was updated with the addition of the Bay City region schools in 2005. The program is also enhanced by a continuously updated Website (http://coastal.beg.utexas.edu/thscmp/).
PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Goals

The coastal monitoring program has three major goals:

(1) Provide high school students with an inquiry-based learning experience. Students make several field trips to their study sites during the school year. Working in teams, they conduct topographic surveys (beach profiles) of the foredune and beach, map the vegetation line and shoreline, collect sediment samples, and observe weather and wave conditions. Back in the classroom, students analyze their data and look for relationships among the observed phenomena. UT scientists provide background information and guide inquiries about the data, but students are encouraged to form their own hypotheses and test them. Through their collaboration with working scientists on an actual research project, the students gain an enhanced science education.

(2) Increase public awareness and understanding of coastal processes and hazards. We expect that participating students will discuss the program with their parents, classmates, and neighbors, further expanding the reach of the
program. We also expect the program to attract media attention, as it has in the past. The program was featured in the winter 2006 issue of On the Coast, a coastal-issues newsletter from the Texas General Land Office. A paper featuring the program and data collected by the high school students was published in the fall 2004 issue of Shore & Beach (Vol. 72, No. 4), the journal of the American Shore & Beach Preservation Association. A Website (http://coastal.beg.utexas.edu/thscmp/) containing the latest information is central to the community outreach part of the project. Coastal residents may wish to view the effects of a storm that strikes the upper coast. They are able to do so by accessing the Texas High School Coastal Monitoring Program Website to view maps, graphs, and photographs collected by Ball High School. Curiosity may drive this inquiry at first, but eventually awareness and appreciation of coastal processes and how future storms could affect a community will increase.

(3) Achieve a better understanding of the relationship between coastal processes, beach morphology, and shoreline change and make data and findings available for solving coastal management problems. The Bureau of Economic Geology (Bureau) at UT has conducted a 30-year research program to monitor shorelines and investigate coastal processes. An important part of this program is the repeated mapping of the shoreline and measurement of beach profiles. Over time, these data are used to determine the rate of shoreline change. A problem we face is the limited temporal resolution in our shoreline data. The beach is a dynamic environment where significant changes in shape and sand volume can occur over periods of days or even hours. Tides, storms, and seasonal wind patterns cause large, periodic or quasi-periodic changes in the shape of the beach. If coastal data are not collected often enough, periodic variations in beach morphology could be misinterpreted as secular changes. The Texas High School Coastal Monitoring Program helps address this problem by providing scientific data at key locations along the Texas coast. These data are integrated into the ongoing coastal research program at the Bureau and are made available to other researchers and coastal managers.

Methods

The central element in the high school monitoring program is at least three class field trips during the academic year, weather permitting. During each trip, students visit several locations and apply scientific procedures to measuring beach morphology and making observations on beach, weather, and wave conditions. These procedures were developed during the program's pilot year (1997–1998) and are presented in detail in a manual and on the Website, which also includes field forms. Following is a general discussion of the field measurements.

(1) Beach profile. Students use a pair of Emery rods, a metric tape, and a hand level to accurately survey a shore-normal beach profile from behind
the foredunes to the waterline (Figure 2). The students begin the profile at a presurveyed datum stake so that they can compare each new profile with earlier profiles. Consistently oriented photographs are taken with a digital camera. The beach profiles provide detailed data on the volume of sand and the shape of the beach.

Figure 2. Students using (A) a sighting level to determine vertical offset between Emery rods and (B) a metric tape to measure horizontal distance.

(2) Shoreline and vegetation line mapping. Using a differential Global Positioning System (GPS) receiver, students walk along the vegetation line and shoreline mapping these features for display on Geographic Information System software. The GPS mapping provides measurements of the rate of change.

(3) Sediment sampling. Students occasionally take sediment samples along the beach profile at the foredune crest, berm top, and beach face. They then sieve the samples, weigh the grain-size fractions, and inspect the grains using a microscope. These samples show the dependence of sand characteristics on the various processes acting on the beach.

(4) Beach processes (Figure 3). Students measure wind speed and direction, estimate the width of the surf zone, and observe the breaker type. They note wave direction, height, and period and estimate longshore current speed and direction using a float, stop watch, and tape measure. They also take readings of shoreline and foredune orientation. From these measurements, students can infer relationships between physical processes and beach changes in time and space. Students also learn to obtain weather and oceanographic data from resources on the Internet.
Figure 3. Students (A) using a sighting compass to measure shoreline orientation and (B) measuring how far along the shoreline the float (an orange) drifted to determine longshore current.

Training

UT scientists provide teachers with all the training, information, field forms, and equipment needed to conduct field and lab measurements. During the school year, UT scientists accompany students on at least one field trip and make at least two classroom visits, which may be included with the field trips. The classroom visits provide students with more insight into conducting scientific research. The scientists discuss with the students general and theoretical issues regarding scientific research, as well as specific techniques and issues related to coastal research. The visits also provide scientists with an opportunity to ensure quality of the data.

Data Management, Data Analysis, and Dissemination of Information

The Web is central to the dissemination of data collected for this program. A Website (http://coastal.beg.utexas.edu/thscmp/), which resides on a UT server, was implemented toward the end of the 1998–1999 academic year. The Website provides all the information needed to begin a beach monitoring program, as well as curriculum materials for high school teachers. Each school in the program has an area on the Website for posting its data and observations, including photos taken by an electronic camera. UT scientists manage the data in an electronic database and make them available to the public. UT scientists also evaluate the data in light of coastal management problems. Students and the public can now interactively plot beach profiles and retrieve data through the Website.
STUDENT, TEACHER, AND SCIENTIST INTERACTIONS
DURING THE 2006–2007 ACADEMIC YEAR

UT scientist Ms. Hepner worked with teachers Mr. Ron Wooten of Ball
High School, Mr. William Slingerland of Port Aransas High School, and Dr.
Michelle Zacher of Port Isabel High School. Mr. Wooten chose his Advanced
Placement Environmental Science classes to participate in the program. Mr.
Slingerland chose his Aquatic Sciences class to participate in the program. Port
Isabel High School biology teacher, Dr. Zacher, employed her Advanced
Placement Biology class.

The Bureau is working together with the Lower Colorado River Authority
(LCRA) at Matagorda Bay Nature Park. This collaboration has allowed the
Bureau to expand the program to three schools in the Bay City, Texas, region.
Expansion of the Texas High School Coastal Monitoring Program has not only
increased the number of schools, but now includes younger students, who are
making the same field measurements as the high school students, but who are
visiting only one profile site per field trip. Ms. Hepner worked with teachers Mr.
Warren Morris of Palacios High School, Mr. Robert Hutto from Tidehaven Middle
School, and Ms. Meredith Keelan of Van Vleck High School during the first field
trip of the academic year. Representatives from LCRA worked with the teachers
during the other field trips. Van Vleck participated in only one field trip during the
2006–07 academic year. We are hopeful that the Bureau and LCRA will be able
to continue their relationship in 2007–08. Other school districts or options will be
discussed if Van Vleck must withdraw from the program. Approximately 80
students in 6th through 12th grades actively participated during the year.

UT scientists visited each school at least once, letting the visits coincide
with field trips. During and after field trips and during lectures, UT scientists
discussed careers in science and university life with students. These visits by UT
scientists served not only to enhance scientific instruction, but they also gave
students insight into science as a career.

During the field trips, students were divided into two or three teams,
according to the size of the class. One team measured the profile while the other
team collected data on weather and waves and conducted a GPS survey of the
shoreline and vegetation line. Team members had specific tasks, and students
took turns performing them. After each team completed its tasks at the first
location, the teams switched roles so that everyone had an opportunity to
conduct all measurements.

Dividing students into two four- to seven-member teams works well. One
team conducts the beach profile and the other measures the processes and the
shoreline. Each team finishes at about the same time, although for short profiles,
the profiling team may finish early. In this case, an extra task can be assigned to
the profiling team. It is important to assign each student a job to keep him or her focused and interested. Time for a little fun should also be allowed. People normally think of the beach as a place of recreation, and participation in this project should not change that. In fact, it is hoped that program participants will enjoy going to the beach even more because of their newly acquired knowledge and observation skills.

The method of breaking students into teams and collecting data works well for Advanced Placement students at Ball, Port Aransas, and Port Isabel High Schools. Adding middle school students to the program has changed our approach to working with students, but only slightly. For example, the Bay City region schools, which collect data on Matagorda Peninsula, collect data from only one monitoring site. Because of the distance from the schools to the beach (~45 minutes to 1 hour each way), time will not allow data collection from multiple sites. Also, Van Vleck is involved in another scientific study along Matagorda Peninsula. About 10 students from this school actively collect data for the Coastal Monitoring Program. At Tidehaven Middle School, the Spanish Science Club usually conducts its field trips on Saturday mornings because the group from Tidehaven is a club and it is harder for the members to receive permission to leave school during regular hours. Instead of breaking into groups to collect the data, we attempt to keep the students active by constantly rotating them through the different positions. The last student to conduct a measurement teaches the next student.

The day of the field trip, students meet in the teacher's classroom to organize equipment and gather additional materials that they may need for the day (coolers with ice and water, lunches, etc.). Throughout the day, data and samples are collected from one to three locations, with sufficient time allotted for lunch and breaks. On some trips there is time for additional scientific inquiry. Port Isabel students visit the Laguna Madre Nature Trail on South Padre Island or use a seine net in Laguna Madre. Ball High School students observe the wetlands at Galveston Island State Park, as well as use different types of nets (seine, cast nets, etc.) to observe shrimp, crabs, and small fish that live in the waters at the edge of the wetlands. Port Aransas High School students visit the University of Texas Fisheries and Mariculture Laboratory. All trips allow ample time for careful data collection and ensuring that the students are back at school about 1 hour before the end of the day. During this hour, equipment and samples are stored, and data are filed or transferred to the computer. Following are details on the activities at each school.

Ball High School

Mr. Ron Wooten's AP Environmental Science classes at Ball High School participated in field trips on September 15, 2006; December 14, 2006; and May 2, 2007. They conducted surveys at the same two locations as previous Ball High classes—one at the Galveston Island State Park, BEG02, and one on Follets
Island southwest of San Luis Pass, BEG08 (Fig. 4). The Bureau has also been measuring these profiles since the 1980's. Ms. Hepner accompanied the class and provided further training and background information to the students.

![Ball High School Monitoring Sites](image)

Figure 4. Location map of Ball High School monitoring sites.

Port Aransas High School

Port Aransas students participated in field trips on September 14, 2006; January 12, 2007; and May 1, 2007. Mr. Slingerland's class collected data at three profile locations on Mustang Island: MUI01 near Horace Caldwell Pier, MUI02 in Mustang Island State Park, and MUI03 (Fig. 5). Port Aransas High School has been measuring these profiles since 1999. Ms. Hepner accompanied the class and provided further training and background information to the students.
Figure 5. Location map of Port Aransas High School monitoring sites.

Port Isabel High School

Port Isabel students participated in field trips on September 11, 2006; February 27, 2007; and May 21, 2007. Dr. Zacher’s Advanced Biology class collected data at two profile locations on South Padre Island: SPI01 in Isla Blanca Park and SPI02 at Beach Access #13 (Fig. 6). Port Isabel High School has been measuring these profiles since 1999. Ms. Hepner was able to accompany the class on every field trip to provide further training and background information to the students.
Figure 6. Location map of Port Isabel High School monitoring sites.

Bay City Area Schools

Van Vleck Middle School students participated in a field trip on September 22, 2006. Ms. Keelen’s science class collected data at MAT01 (Fig. 7). The Spanish Science Club at Tidehaven Middle School participated in field trips on September 23, 2006, January 13, 2007, and May 12, 2007. The students from Tidehaven collected data at MAT03 (Fig. 7). Physics students from Palacios High School were the final group to join the Texas High School Coastal Monitoring Program. These students participated in field trips on October 20, 2006; February 2, 2006; and May 15, 2007. Palacios students collected data at MAT02 (Fig. 7).
EFFECTS ON SCIENCE CURRICULUM

The Texas High School Coastal Monitoring Program addresses several requirements of Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) for science, and the program was relevant in these 2006–2007 Texas high school courses: (1) Environmental Systems, (2) Aquatic Sciences, and (3) Geology, Meteorology, and Oceanography. The Texas High School Coastal Monitoring Program also addresses several National Science Education Standards: (1) unifying concepts and processes in science, (2) science as inquiry, (3) physical science, (4) Earth and space science, (5) science and technology, and (6) science in personal and social perspectives.

TEKS and Standards related to applying scientific methods in field and laboratory investigations in these courses are well covered in the Coastal Monitoring Program. Specific requirements, such as (1) collecting data and making measurements with precision, (2) analyzing data using mathematical methods, (3) evaluating data and identifying trends, and (4) planning and implementing investigative procedures, are an excellent fit with the program. TEKS and Standards, which require students to use critical thinking and scientific problem solving to make informed decisions, are also well served. Teachers and scientists can use the program to illustrate to students the role science could,
should, or does play in developing public policy. A case study of a local erosion problem could be used to illustrate.

EFFECTS ON SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH, COASTAL MANAGEMENT, AND PUBLIC AWARENESS

During the 2006–2007 academic year, Ball High School students measured profile locations in Galveston Island State Park (BEG02, Fig. 4) and on Follets Island to the southwest of Galveston Island. Ball High School students had measured these same locations in previous years, and the Bureau had conducted quarterly surveys at these locations from 1983 through 1985 after Hurricane Alicia. Since 1985, however, the beaches had been surveyed on an irregular schedule, about once a year, and only when specific projects were funded to do so or when Bureau personnel were in the area conducting other work. The high school beach-monitoring program helps ensure that time series at these key locations are continued. The Galveston Island State Park profile has increased in importance because it served as a control site for comparing profiles measured in front of geotextile tube projects along Pirates Beach to the northeast. Results of a study utilizing data collected by Ball High School students have been published in Shore & Beach, the journal of the American Shore & Beach Preservation Association. The data have increased scientific understanding of recovery of beaches and dunes following storms (Hurricane Alicia, Tropical Storm Frances, Hurricane Claudette, Hurricane Rita) that have impacted the area.

Port Aransas and Port Isabel High Schools continued the beach-profile time series at their established locations. Palacios and Van Vleck High Schools and Tidehaven Middle School continued collecting data at their established locations. The profile and processes data that the students collected have been incorporated into the beach-profile database at the Bureau, and scientists are using these data to investigate beach erosion patterns. These data can be viewed at the Texas High School Coastal Monitoring Program Website at http://coastal.beg.utexas.edu/thscmp/.

Although it will take time to incorporate data into products that support coastal management, it is clear that the data will be useful in explaining beach cycles and defining short-term versus long-term trends. Defining these trends is important for making decisions regarding coastal development and beach nourishment. The program has also increased public awareness through the students. Given the number of inquiries from people wishing to enter their school or group in THSCMP, we think that the program is reaching the public. Television reports, presentations at conferences, and newspaper articles have helped. The Website will continue to be instrumental in extending the reach of the program and increasing public awareness of coastal processes.
SCIENTIFIC RESULTS OF 1997–2007 STUDIES

The first goal of the Texas High School Coastal Monitoring Program is to provide high school students with an inquiry-based learning experience, which is achieved by involving students in a real-world research project. The student-collected beach data can and have been used by researchers at the Bureau to help respond to several beach-related issues. The data are also available to coastal managers and the public online at http://coastal.beg.utexas.edu/thscmp/.

Profile data are entered into the public-domain software package called "Beach Morphology and Analysis Package" (BMAP). BMAP Version 2, developed by the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers, is commonly used by coastal engineers and scientists for beach-profile analysis. Beach-volume calculations were made using BMAP, and shoreline and vegetation-line positions were determined from notes made by students and scientists while in the field collecting data. The shoreline is designated by the wet/dry line or a berm crest. Volume, shoreline, and vegetation-line plots for sites collected by Ball, Port Aransas, and Port Isabel High Schools are found in Appendix B, profile plots are in Appendix C, and profile plots from Matagorda Peninsula are also in Appendix C.

Students from Ball High School have been collecting data for the Coastal Monitoring Program since 1997. During this timeframe, Tropical Storm Frances (September 1998) played a major role in reshaping the beaches in Galveston County, and several other severe storms have impacted the study area. Allison (2001), Fay (2002), and Hurricane Claudette (2003) have each caused varying amounts of damage to beaches and dunes along the Texas coast (Fig. 8). Data collected by Ball High School students on Galveston Island have been used by scientists at the Bureau to track beach and dune recovery stages following Tropical Storm Frances. The storm caused significant damage to beaches along the southeast coast of Texas that was comparable to damage caused by category-3 Hurricane Alicia in 1983 (Hepner and Gibeaut, 2004).

Ball High School students provided important pre-storm beach topography data from their two key locations during the 2005–2006 academic year. Hurricane Rita made landfall at Sabine Pass on the Texas/Louisiana border at 7:30 UTC on September 24, 2005. Rita was a category 3 hurricane with maximum sustained winds of about 105 knots. Overall, Rita did not cause the kind of episodic beach or dune erosion on Galveston or Follets Islands that Frances had in 1998. Figure 9 is a plot of pre- and post-storm beach profiles measured at Galveston Island State Park. The pre-storm profile was measured by Ball High School science students, and the post-storm profile was measured by the Coastal Studies Group from the Bureau of Economic Geology. Rita flattened the profile and caused a small amount of overwash deposition, but the position of the vegetation line and shoreline was not greatly affected (Fig. 8) (Gibeaut, 2005).
The BEG02 beach profile at Galveston Island State Park is being incorporated into another study by Bureau scientists. The Bureau is responsible for monitoring impacts of geotextile tubes that have been installed along Galveston Island, Follets Island, and Bolivar Peninsula. Tropical Storm Frances (1998) put many homes on this stretch of coast in danger of being damaged or destroyed during subsequent storms and gradual shoreline retreat. In an effort to prevent such damage from occurring, geotextile-tube storm protection projects were constructed as temporary erosion-control measures. BEG02, located in Galveston Island State Park, is adjacent to a subdivision where the erosion-control devices have been installed. One of the observations made during this study involved beach widths measured from the base of the geotextile tube or dune to the waterline. The BEG02 profile site in Galveston Island State Park is south of a geotextile-tube project in the Pirates Beach community. The beach in the relatively natural area of the State Park was wider than it was in front of the
subdivision, owing to the lack of restriction caused by placement of the geotextile tubes (Gibeaut et al., 2003) (Fig. 10).

Figure 10. Lidar topographic relief image of Galveston Island State Park and Pirates Beach subdivision. Note difference in beach width between the natural beach and that in front of the subdivision.

Port Aransas and Port Isabel High Schools have been collecting beach-profile data and coastal-process observations since 1999. Although neither location has experienced the type of dramatic shoreline change that Galveston and Follets Islands have, the information gained from the students' work has been beneficial to Bureau researchers' understanding of the dynamics of the Texas coast. Brazos Santiago Pass, the southern border of South Padre Island, is dredged biannually. The Pass serves as the southern Gulf of Mexico access to the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway and the Port of Brownsville. Dredged material is placed on beaches of South Padre Island, and the two sites monitored by Port Isabel High School students are within the nourishment areas. The SPI02 monitoring site has also been used by students and scientists to monitor the growth of dunes. When SPI02 was established in August 2000, there were no dunes between the seawall and the waterline at this location. Since that time, sand fences have been installed, and vegetation has been planted. Profile data have been quantifying the effects of these actions (Fig. 11).
Figure 11. Changes at SPI02 on South Padre Island due to installation of sand fence and beach nourishment.

Van Vleck and Tidehaven students have just completed their second set of beach measurements at Matagorda Bay Nature Park, and Palacios students completed their first set of beach measurements. The park has two special circumstances that make this monitoring especially informative and important. Monitoring sites have been established on the up-drift side of the jetty at the mouth of the Colorado River and at sites that allow us to compare a beach/dune system where vehicular traffic on the beach will be strictly prohibited, along with an adjacent area where vehicular traffic will continue to be permitted. Impacts of coastal structures (jetties) are critical to coastal management, and the impacts of vehicles on Texas' beaches are not well documented. Vehicular traffic is still permitted on what will become the pedestrian beach at Nature Park. Data collected during the 2005–2006 and 2006-2007 academic years will serve as baseline data for this study on vehicular impact on beaches. Future measurements will show not only change through time at each location but also spatial variation along the Texas coast. Through time, data collected from Matagorda Peninsula will help scientists better understand the relationship between coastal processes, beach morphology, and shoreline change at these locations. Future measurements by all six schools will show not only change through time at each location, but also spatial variation along the Texas coast.

WEBSITE UPGRADES

The program's Website (http://coastal.beg.utexas.edu/thscmp/index.html) has been redesigned and has evolved during the 2006–2007 academic year. The color scheme has been changed to make it more appealing, and several exercises were developed as part of a National Science Foundation grant that utilize the data collected by participants in the program, which were added to the new site. An exciting new feature on the Website is field guides to Mustang and Galveston Islands. The photo gallery has been updated as well to include all photos since the program began. Additional upgrades are planned that will
enhance students' experiences. We received funding to create an interactive virtual field trip that will be a main feature of the Website. The virtual field trip will include lesson plans and activities to help students explore the geology of the Gulf of Mexico, Texas Coastal Zone geography and history, the impacts of rising sea level on Galveston Island, and many more coastal-zone-related exercises. We also intend to expand the site to make it more of a national resource that will be useful to teachers and students across the nation, who are not directly involved in the program.

CONCLUSIONS

The Texas High School Coastal Monitoring Program provides high school students with a real-world learning experience outside the everyday classroom. The coastal monitoring program not only provides hands-on education, but it also complies with many TEKS requirements. The 2006–2007 academic year was productive, with Ball, Port Aransas, and Port Isabel High Schools collecting data on several field trips. Tidehaven Middle School and Palacios and Van Vleck High Schools continued collecting data on Matagorda Peninsula.

In the 10 years since the inception of the Texas High School Coastal Monitoring Program, work of the students at Ball, Port Aransas, Port Isabel, Palacios, and Van Vleck High Schools and Tidehaven Middle School has been beneficial to Bureau researchers and coastal managers. Efforts of the students have been useful to several Bureau research projects. Availability of data through the program's Website allows access to coastal managers and the public. Scientists, students, and the public will continue to gain a better understanding of coastal processes and shoreline change along the Texas coast through this successful student research program.

RECOMMENDATIONS

We consider the tenth year of the Texas High School Coastal Monitoring Program a success and offer the following recommendations for continuance and expansion of the program.

1. Emphasize to students that they are working on a real research project and are collecting scientifically valid data that will eventually appear in a scientific publication. This is a major point that makes this program different from most other field trips or laboratory exercises. Asking students to conduct experiments that have real consequences seems to make a difference to them, and it probably improves the quality of the data.

2. Clearly tell students about the specific scientific problems being addressed, but also emphasize that what they are gaining in experience is not just how to measure beaches but how to conduct scientific field research in general. Students are also learning a different way of viewing their surroundings.
3. Survey a reasonable number of beaches, which, in most cases, means two or three. The program goals of scientific research and science education could be at odds with one another. From a purely scientific point of view, it would be desirable to acquire as many data as possible. That approach, however, would not allow time for discussions on the beach that are not directly related to the measurements. Taking up too much time taking measurements would also hinder development of observation skills and keep students from enjoying their work.

4. The number of official field trips depends on the class, but a maximum of four trips is reasonable. Some trips may have to be cancelled because of bad weather or other unusual circumstances, and cancelled trips can be difficult to reschedule. Therefore, some freedom must be allowed in the program regarding number of trips and sites measured. Even if just one good data set is collected during the year, it will be useful scientifically. Some students might be encouraged to make additional trips on weekends or after school. Interested students should be encouraged to use the program in a science fair project.

5. When adding schools or a new teacher to the program, a 1- to 2-day seminar before the school year begins and including as many teachers as possible is desirable. If such a seminar could be held, instruction would be more efficient, and teachers and scientists would benefit in the exchange of ideas.

6. A Website adds an important dimension to the project, especially when multiple schools are participating. A Website at which students can exchange observations with those of other schools in Texas increases the educational value of the program by allowing students to observe differences in processes along the coast. A Website also illustrates to students how the Internet can be used to conduct research. Furthermore, the Internet is crucial in increasing public awareness of coastal processes, so providing immediate feedback to students through the Internet is important. Students want to see their data and photographs on the Web, and feedback increases their interest in the project.

7. Encourage teachers to incorporate the data into the curriculum for their other classes. One of the goals of the program is to increase public awareness and understanding of coastal processes and hazards. Disseminating data gathered by their peers may increase the interest of students not directly involved in the Coastal Monitoring Program. Data collected and knowledge gained from analysis of the data are applicable to all Environmental Science, Geology, Aquatic Sciences, and Oceanography curricula.
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APPENDIX A: PROFILE INFORMATION
All profile coordinates are in NAD83. Heights above the GRS80 Ellipsoid were converted to North American Vertical Datum 88 (NAVD88) using the Geiod99 Ellipsoid Model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile</th>
<th>Latitude (deg min)</th>
<th>Longitude (deg min)</th>
<th>Easting (m)</th>
<th>Northing (m)</th>
<th>HAE (m)</th>
<th>NAVD88 (m)</th>
<th>Azimuth (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BEG02</td>
<td>29 11.64</td>
<td>94 57.09</td>
<td>310255.20</td>
<td>3231059.16</td>
<td>-24.75</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEG08</td>
<td>29 3.22</td>
<td>95 8.90</td>
<td>290838.52</td>
<td>3215830.51</td>
<td>-25.21</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile</th>
<th>Latitude (deg min)</th>
<th>Longitude (deg min)</th>
<th>Easting (m)</th>
<th>Northing (m)</th>
<th>HAE (m)</th>
<th>NAVD88 (m)</th>
<th>Azimuth (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MUI01</td>
<td>27 49.53</td>
<td>97 03.40</td>
<td>691396.24</td>
<td>3079393.46</td>
<td>-22.29</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUI02</td>
<td>27 40.42</td>
<td>97 10.19</td>
<td>680502.58</td>
<td>3062388.03</td>
<td>-24.14</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUI03</td>
<td>27 47.66</td>
<td>97 05.08</td>
<td>688697.42</td>
<td>3075882.34</td>
<td>-22.08</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile</th>
<th>Latitude (deg min)</th>
<th>Longitude (deg min)</th>
<th>Easting (m)</th>
<th>Northing (m)</th>
<th>HAE (m)</th>
<th>NAVD88 (m)</th>
<th>Azimuth (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPI01</td>
<td>26 4.57</td>
<td>97 9.46</td>
<td>684274.71</td>
<td>2885422.83</td>
<td>-18.48</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI02</td>
<td>26 6.79</td>
<td>97 9.93</td>
<td>683438.99</td>
<td>2889509.24</td>
<td>-18.11</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile</th>
<th>Latitude (deg min)</th>
<th>Longitude (deg min)</th>
<th>Easting (m)</th>
<th>Northing (m)</th>
<th>HAE (m)</th>
<th>NAVD88 (m)</th>
<th>Azimuth (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAT01</td>
<td>28 36.67</td>
<td>95 56.55</td>
<td>212269.73</td>
<td>3168453.74</td>
<td>-22.77</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAT02</td>
<td>28 36.31</td>
<td>95 57.47</td>
<td>210751.39</td>
<td>3167825.80</td>
<td>-23.25</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAT03</td>
<td>28 35.91</td>
<td>95 58.48</td>
<td>309090.26</td>
<td>3167112.23</td>
<td>-21.81</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B: GRAPHS OF VOLUME, SHORELINE, AND VEGETATION-LINE CHANGE
BEG02 volumes are calculated from datum to 1.25 m below datum. Profiles that did not extend to −1.25 m were extrapolated.

![BEG02 (Galveston Island State Park) volume, shoreline, and vegetation line change](image)

BEG08 volumes are calculated from datum to 1.5 m below datum. Profiles that did not extend to −1.5 m were extrapolated.

![BEG08 (Follets Island) volume, shoreline, and vegetation line change](image)
MUI01 volumes are calculated from datum to 3 m below datum. Profiles that did not extend to -3 m were extrapolated.

![MUI01 (Mustang Island) volume, shoreline, and vegetation line change graph]

MUI02 volumes were calculated from datum to 0.75 m below datum. Profiles that did not extend to -0.75 m were extrapolated.

![MUI02 (Mustang Island State Park) volume, shoreline, and vegetation line change graph]
MUI03 volumes were calculated from datum to 3 m below datum. Profiles that did not extend to –3 m were extrapolated.

SPI01 volumes were calculated from datum to 2.25 m below datum. Profiles that did not extend to –2.25 m were extrapolated.
SPI02 volumes were calculated from datum to 2.5 m below datum. Profiles that did not extend to -2.5 m were extrapolated.
APPENDIX C: GRAPHS OF BEACH PROFILES
BEG02 (Galveston Island State Park)

1997 Oct 1
1997 Dec 9
1998 Mar 6
1998 Apr 28

1998 Apr 28
1998 Sep 16
1998 Oct 22
1998 Dec 3

1998 Dec 3
1999 Mar 2
1999 Nov 18
2000 Feb 7

2000 Feb 7
2000 Nov 28
2001 Feb 14
2001 Oct 12

vertical exaggeration = 10:1
MUI03 (Mustang Island)

Height above NAVD88 (m)

Distance from Monument (m)

- 2004 Apr 19
- 2004 Sep 8
- 2004 Dec 9
- 2005 May 3

Height above NAVD88 (m)

Distance from Monument (m)

- 2005 May 3
- 2005 Sep 20
- 2006 Jan 19
- 2006 Mar 21

Height above NAVD88 (m)

Distance from Monument (m)

- 2006 Mar 21
- 2006 Sep 14
- 2007 Jan 12
- 2007 May 1

vertical exaggeration = 10:1

Distance from Monument (m)