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Key points

- Focus of this presentation:
  → CO$_2$ transport and storage (CTS) development: How to?

But before, we need to make sure that we understand:
- The “why”
- The “what”
- and finally the “how” which means where?, how much?, by when?, and by who?

Sources of this presentation derived from:
- IEA ETP 2017 (just released)
CCS context and current status

- CCS has gained renewed momentum since Paris Agreement
- Significant progress has been made over the past 20 years but...
  - 17 large-scale projects operating and portfolio is becoming more diverse (coal-fired power generation, oil sand upgrading and steel manufacture)
  - New projects advancing: 5 more projects in construction, most due to commence in next 12-18 months and China leads the next wave of projects, with 8 in early development
- Technology is now proven in many applications
- CO2-EOR opportunities have been important for CCS investment
  - CO₂ has been injected for EOR since the 1970’s in the USA
  - 12 of the 17 large-scale projects operating are associated with EOR;
    - Most are in North America; 2 projects recently commissioned in Saudi Arabia and United Arab Emirates

BUT in 1996-2016, up to 0.351 GtCCO₂ has been injected in the world
⇒ CCS is not on track for 2 degrees or below for Paris ambitions
IEA ETP 2017:

- In 2DS, CCS is applied across the economy capturing 6.8 GtCO₂ in 2060 and 142 GtCO₂ cumulatively in the period 2015-2060.
- In B2DS, annual rate of CCS in 2060 is 11 GtCO₂ (66% higher than 2DS) with 227 GtCO₂ captured and stored cumulatively across 2015-2060.
- CCS accounts for 32% of the reduction in emissions between 2DS and B2DS.

⇒ A massive, rapid scale-up of CCS is required under a 2DS or well below 2°C target but the task ahead is HUGE...
CCS Build out rates (IEA GHG, 2016)

CCS Roadmaps suggest **150-300 Million tonnes CO$_2$ per year** build out rates of capture and storage.

Equivalent with required build out rates of individual CCS items **per year**:

- 75-150 commission CO$_2$ capture facilities
- 75-150 ~20 MW compressors
- 4.5-12k km pipeline
- ~ 15-30 Mtpa CO$_2$ ships capacity added (assuming 10% transported by ship)

⇒ **Rapid CCS Industry build-out can technically be realised in a supporting environment, with sustained incentives**
Accelerating CCS deployment: focus on CTS

Fundamentals to accelerate CCS deployment:
- Increased political and public attention on CCS as a critical mitigation technology meeting climate targets and recognizing CCS value proposition (societal benefits)
- Comprehensive set of incentive and other policy that can underpin business development for CCS cluster projects in the near- and long-term
- Strengthened global coordination/cooperation at all levels (local, state, country, intra-regional levels and international) and between government-industry, and;
- An increased focus on CO₂ storage assessment and CO₂ transport and storage infrastructure development

Focus on CO₂ transport and storage (CTS) infrastructure development is essential
- To meet CO₂ emission targets, CTS infrastructure development will be required to service multiple sectors of the economy across different regions of the world
- The development of public common user CTS infrastructure would greatly accelerate the uptake of CO₂ capture.
- The deployment of CCS will require an up-front development of large-scale storage (mainly offshore) resources
- Governments must play a leading role in proving up or not large-scale bankable CO₂ storage

⇒ No CCS without the “S”: CO₂ storage must come first
Defining the CCS value proposition

Reference: IEA CTS infrastructure workshop (May 2017, Paris)

CCS benefits
- CCS is a key technology for achieving the Paris Agreement ambitions across various sector of the economics (power, industrial processes, heat and transport)
- CCS is essential for ‘negative emissions’
- CCS is a not all about the cost...without CCS, most climate models indicate that total emissions targets can’t be achieved.
- CCS has additional societal benefits (grid stability, energy security, jobs, etc..)

CCS challenges
- Governments will be challenged on why spending $ on CCS (instead of hospitals, schools, etc...)
- CCS value proposition is not everywhere the same and changes over time
- Beyond cost, CCS value proposition is hard quantify (quantifiable CCS metrics)
- Proving the value is essential, but it is not more than a first step...need to convince (government, public), design the way to support (governments), implement and develop (government, industry)
Improving CCS narratives...

Reference: IEA CTS infrastructure workshop (May 2017, Paris)

- **Proving and communicating CCS value - big challenge**
  - New approach required – marketing CCS, increasing energy literacy
  - New narratives to drive opinion on climate change issues (global climate models have limited impacts)

- **Business case**
  - CCS has a business case if we take into account the societal benefits

- **CO₂ storage costs:**
  - Comparing apple to apple: CO₂ storage cost definition and estimation methodology impact on the $/T as well as the assumptions used
  - Driving forces (+ and -)

- **Containment:** leak-mode analysis with *rate, confidence levels* & *consequence analysis*

- And the **Capacity**, which is considered the amount of corrected pore space in the container BUT *Rate* defines the value of the resource not total corrected pore **volume**
  - The “Useful Size” of Storage “Container” is not a Function of Static Pore Volume
Resetting capacity...

**Reference:** Alf Garnett (UQ) @IEA CTS infrastructure workshop (May 2017, Paris)

Rate declines ‘somehow’ over time as pressure builds up

$\text{Capacity} = \text{area under curve} = \int_{0}^{t} I_o \cdot I(t)$

$\Rightarrow \text{Capacity is more Usefully a Function of Achievable Injectivity}$

For the whole licence period:

$\text{Capacity} = \lim_{\text{Area}} \int_{t=0}^{\text{lic. term}} \sum_{\text{wells}(t)} \text{Injection}(t)$

(economic capacity is — this achieved below a target unit cost)
Developing CO₂ storage resource portfolios through CO₂ E&A

- Appraising long term injectivity is in fact Appraising the Resource ‘Dynamic Capacity’
  - Uncertainties on initial rates & decline rates

- Dynamic testing is key to estimate (dynamic) capacity and reduce uncertainties but **How far you need to go?**
  - It depends on the risk tolerance of the decision makers?

- Economics requires decision makers to define “value” (doesn't have to be just $) and this requires a clear strategic purpose
  - “Value” may be a rate, cumulative volume and acceptable UTC range
  - Value is information – what rates, where, what confidence levels, what action required to mature, what risks and uncertainties?

- Uncertainty analysis => uncertainty management plan => investment in activity versus uncertainty reduction

- But E&A is a Decision Roadmap not Activity Sequence and E&A is not primarily a technical exercise, it is an **economic** exercise

- The first task – figure out why before what!
  - Framing the problem/mandate ...store a minimum of XX mln tonnes per annum for XX years at less than $XX/t (T&S UTC) [with option to expand this rate to XX mln tpa]
  - Find and appraise suitable site(s) via a stage gated process and clear decision criteria for E&A

Reference: Alf Garnett (UQ) @IEA CTS infrastructure workshop (May 2017, Paris)
Develop CTS infrastructure mapping

- Define CCS potential in key regions to inform climate strategies

  How much CO$_2$ can we pump in, where, at what rate and for how long?
  - Geology & Reservoir Engineering
  - Constraints
  - Wells & Completions
  - Field Engineering (FDP)
  - Impacts
  - Risk & uncertainty analysis
  - Field Economics (by area)

  What is the best realistic, economic way to ‘plumb’ this in?
  - CO$_2$ Sources (existing and future)
  - Constraints
  - Synergies/opportunities
  - Pipelines (eng. & routes)
  - Sequence/timing
  - Storage & Transport Economics by area and source-sink match

Examples:
- National Carbon Mapping and Infrastructure Plan – Australia
- UK Appraisal project (PBD)

- The first step is to establish, in key regions, the confidence levels in rate and cost for multi-user CO$_2$ T&S systems through
  - a regional appraisal program with dynamic calibration and matched source-sink scenario analysis, and;
  - considering early deployment opportunities as well as long term deployment targets
CTS development plan

- Dynamic Calibration is needed for Improved Confidence in *Matching Rates & BoD*

**CO₂ sources**

- Power stations
- Industrial processes
- Other Transformation

Reference: Alf Garnett (UQ) @IEA CTS infrastructure workshop (May 2017, Paris)
CTS development – possible solutions

- Form “coalition of the willing” between governments or/and states and industry to support CCS and develop common-user CTS infrastructure in key “regions”

- Create “enablers” to develop CTS plans and infrastructure based on:
  - ZEP concept of the Regional Development Organisation (RDO) strategize, plan and develop CTS “systems”
  - ZEP concept of the Market Marker to build the required T&S infrastructure, transports and stores the CO\textsubscript{2} captured by emitters on a commercial contract basis, by taking the operational storage risk

- The first step will to create a CO\textsubscript{2} Transport and Storage “appraisal” (SPV) entity for each “region” with:
  - Right competencies (not only technical but project Mgt)
  - Right structure/funding/governance => acceptance of exploration (failure) risk
  - Right level of responsibilities/accountabilities
From CO₂ storage resource assessment to development
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Final remarks

Key points:

- Focus on CTS infrastructure development is essential for large-scale CCS deployment.
- CCS deployment will require an up-front development of large-scale storage (mainly offshore) resources => a portfolio exploration and appraisal approach is needed.
- The role of the government is essential to CTS deployment including CO₂ storage assessment.

Actions for Governments:

- Long term commitment through to decarbonisation with CCS.
- Shift in policy approach from supporting individual CCS projects to CTS infrastructure.
- Specific support mechanisms tailored for CCS early deployment and CO₂ storage development.
- Public-private collaboration/partnership to plan, design and develop multi-user CTS hubs.

Way forward:

- Why? Prove CCS value; refine CCS narratives.
- What? Undertake early deployment of CCS projects enabling long term infrastructure development (expandability/scalability) including CO₂-EOR, depleted gas fields, saline aquifers with data available.
- How? Adopt new approach to:
  - Develop coordinated strategic plans for the development of transport and storage systems.
  - Develop CO₂ storage resource portfolios and conduct E&A to reduce uncertainties.

Time is running out for CCS – the next 10 years will be crucial for large-scale deployment of CCS.

We must get it right!
First IEA CO₂ storage focused workshop in Paris on 16-17 May 2017

**Aim:** CO₂ transport and storage (T&S) infrastructure development

**Attendees:** industry experts, public policy makers, researchers from twelve different countries

**Key points:**
- Development of multi-user CO₂ transport and storage (CTS) infrastructure is key enabler to CCS deployment
- Confidence in CO₂ storage is critical for CTS deployment

**Key actions:**
- Coordinated and strategic action to plan and build CTS infrastructure is required now
- Specific support mechanisms tailored for CO₂ storage assessment and CTS early deployment are needed
- CO₂ storage appraisal and development must be prioritized
- Governments must play a leading role
Clean Energy Ministerial 8: Ministerial side event on CCUS, 6 June

- **China**: Minister Wan Gang
- **Canada**: Minister Jim Carr
- **Norway**: Minister Terje Søviknes
- **United States**: Secretary Rick Perry
- **European Commission**: Energy DG Dominique Ristori
- **Australia**: Under-Secretary Jo Evans
- **Saudi Aramco**: CTO Ahmad Al Khowaiter
- **Oil and Gas Climate Initiative**: Exec Committee Chairman Gerard Moutet
- **Global CCS Institute**: CEO Brad Page
- **CEM**: Head of Secretariat Christian Zinglersen
- **International Energy Agency**: Executive Director Fatih Birol (chair)
“Deployment of CCS will not be optional in implementing the Paris Agreement.”
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