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ABSTRACT

Fluid flow in fractured siliceous mudstone of the Miocene Monterey Formation of California is inferred to be highly focused toward map-scale faults that locally contain extensive amounts of carbonate and minor silica cement. The distance of cross-stratigraphic flow, as inferred based on the strontium isotopic composition of carbonate fault cement, is close to the thickness of the Monterey Formation of 700 m in one of two study locations, Jalama Beach, and less than the formation thickness at another location, Arroyo Burro Beach. Fluid is thus derived from within the Monterey Formation rather than from underlying older units. Based on mass-balance estimates of the fluid volume required for fault cementation at Jalama Beach, the minimum distance of formation-parallel flow into the fault zone is 4 km and possibly >12 km. The inferred distance of flow parallel to the formation into this fault thus exceeds the distance of cross-formational upward flow along the fault by at least a factor of six. The mass-balance estimate requires that fluid flow along the fault is channeled into a pipe-shaped conduit rather than distributed along fault strike. Fluid flow from the surrounding formation into fault pipes is inferred to follow a radial rather than unidirectional flow symmetry, using bedding-confined sets of extension fractures and stratabound breccia bodies. Radial fluid flow toward fault pipes requires fairly isotropic fracture permeability for flow along bedding and a low permeability across bedding. The inferred flow geometry illustrates the combined effect of fault permeability structure, permeability anisotropy of the surrounding formation, and hydraulic head distribution in controlling basinal fluid flow in faulted sequences.
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INTRODUCTION

Faults may affect basinal fluid flow as barriers or as preferred conduits, depending on the difference in permeability between fault zone and the surrounding formation. Faults that are preferred conduits due to increased permeability parallel to the fault are likely to control the localized precipitation of pore cement and ore minerals and the migration and accumulation of hydrocarbons. Faults conducing for fluid flow can be subdivided into three hydraulic regimes (Fig. 1): (1) a source region where pore fluid is drawn into the fault zone from the surrounding formation of higher hydraulic head; (2) a sink region of lower hydraulic head where pore fluid is released back into the formation or onto the Earth’s surface; and (3) an intermediate neutral conduit of no significant fluid exchange with the surrounding formation that may separate the source from the sink region. The effectiveness of fluid transport along a fault can be expressed as the total fluid volume that has migrated along the fault, the average flow rate, the distance of fault-parallel flow, and the distance perpendicular to the fault over which fluid is focused toward the fault in the source region and dispersed away from the fault in the sink region. An additional parameter of interest is the source volume, which is a function of fluid volume and source-rock porosity and storativity.

For the understanding of basinal hydrodynamics and associated transfer of mass and heat, it is of interest to quantify the extent to which conductive faults focus basinal fluid flow. In a sedimentary sequence undergoing dewatering and fluid expulsion during prograde burial and diagenesis, the extent of flow focusing by the presence of faults may be quantified by the ratio of fault-perpendicular flow distance over the distance of fault-parallel flow in the source region. In stratified sequences and for faults inclined with respect to the stratification, it may be more practical to consider the ratio between the distance of formation-parallel fluid flow $\Delta L$ over the distance of cross-stratigraphic flow $\Delta H$ within the source unit,

$$F = \frac{\Delta L}{\Delta H}$$

(Fig. 1). Fault systems with a large focusing ratio $F$ will be more effective in channeling fluid expulsion from the source rock to a potential sink within the sequence or to the Earth’s surface. However, in petroleum systems faults with large $F$ will also be more effective for leaking hydrocarbons out of breached reservoirs.

For any fault of given length, the fluid focusing factor $F$ is a function of the permeability anisotropy of the fluid source rock and of the distance to adjacent faults (Fig. 1). Large ratios of $F$ would be expected in stratified source rocks with the highest permeability parallel to bedding, transected by faults of wide spacing. An isotropic permeability structure of the formation and/or close fault spacing would lead to low ratios of $F$. The permeability anisotropy of the source rock may be controlled by stratification within the formation (intrinsic anisotropy) and by the formation boundaries to overlying and underlying units (extrinsic anisotropy) (Fig. 1).

For a kilometer-scale fault system in the
Monterey Formation in southern California, we demonstrate that the distance of formation-parallel fluid flow exceeds the distance of cross-stratigraphic flow by a factor $F \geq 6$. The distance of cross-stratigraphic fluid flow $\Delta H$ will be estimated using the strontium isotopic composition of carbonate fault cement as a natural tracer. The formation-parallel flow distance $\Delta L$ is inferred based on mass-balance estimates of the fluid volume that has migrated along the fault system. On the basis of the estimated distances of fluid flow and the characteristic permeability structure of Monterey rocks as observed in outcrop, we infer that the expulsion of basinal fluid in the Monterey Formation is highly focused toward faults by the intrinsic, layer-controlled permeability anisotropy of the formation (type A in Fig. 1).

**STRUCTURAL SETTING OF CONDUCTIVE FAULTS**

The Miocene Monterey Formation is an organic-rich, hemipelagic sequence of diatomaceous and phosphatic shale and organogenic dolostone, deposited between 18 and 6 Ma in a series of continental-borderland basins (Pisciotto and Garrison, 1981; Barron, 1986; Hornafius, 1994a). During burial, the organic-rich diatomaceous sequence underwent a complex sequence of diagenetic alteration, dominated by the following reactions: (1) silica transformation of diatom tests, from opal A via opal CT to quartz through two distinct steps of dissolution-reprecipitation (Stein and Kirkpatrick, 1976; Isaacs 1981); (2) degradation of organic matter and maturation of hydrocarbons (Petersen and Hickey, 1987; Baskin and Peters, 1992); (3) precipitation of authigenic dolomite during early diagenesis and subsequent dissolution and/or recrystallization (Burns and Baker, 1987; Compton, 1988; Malone et al., 1994); and (4) transition of smectite to illite (Pollastro, 1990; Compton, 1991).

With an initial organic matter content of up to 34% (Isaacs and Petersen, 1987), the Monterey Formation is both source and reservoir rock for hydrocarbons (Ogle et al., 1987; MacKinnon, 1989). Due to the low matrix permeability, typically $<1$ md, hydrocarbon migration and production critically depend on fractures as flow pathways (Crain et al., 1985; Roehl and Weinbrandt, 1985; Isaacs and Petersen, 1987; MacKinnon, 1989).

Faults in the Monterey Formation are locally cemented by massive volumes of carbonate and quartz, indicative of large volumes of fluid flowing along these faults. At Jalama Beach, 100 km west of Santa Barbara (Fig. 2), two subparallel faults are cemented by dolo-

---

**Figure 1. Geometry of upward-directed basinal fluid expulsion as controlled by faults and by the permeability anisotropy of sedimentary sequences.** Conductive faults can be subdivided into source and sink regions and an intermediate fluid conduit of no or minimal fluid exchange with the surrounding formation. The ratio of formation-parallel over cross-formational flow distance may be used to quantify the extent of fluid focusing toward faults in source units. Fluid focusing will be controlled by the permeability anisotropy of the source unit, which can be intrinsic, i.e., controlled by bedding, or extrinsic, i.e., controlled by contacts to overlying and underlying formations of low permeability. Fluid flow in the Monterey Formation is inferred to be strongly focused toward faults by the intrinsic anisotropy of the formation (case A).

**Figure 2. Location map of Jalama and Arroyo Burro Beaches in south-central California.**
Dolomite and minor amounts of quartz. The southern fault forms a resistant wall of cemented fault breccia, ~6 m thick, 7 m tall, and extending more than 35 m across the wavecut platform between mean low tide water line and the beach cliffs (Fig. 3). The second fault, ~300 m northwest of the first one, is less well exposed in a cove.

Both faults are characterized by a large amount of dolomite cement; 7–10-cm-thick layers of banded dolomite coat host rock fragments and aggregates of earlier dolomite cement (Fig. 3). Dolomite fault cement can be traced into extension veins that branch off from both faults and extend over distances of a few hundred meters (Fig. 4). The combined thickness of dolomite cement of both faults and connected veins, measured along a traverse across the strike of the fault-vein systems, is ~8 m. Fragments of cement and host rock fill interstitial cavities in fault cement. Sedimentary layering of infill and sorting of fragments by grain size suggest transport of detrital fracture fill in suspension by rapidly moving fluid along these faults (Eichhubl, 1997). The fault system at Jalama Beach is hosted by siliceous dolostone and dolomitic opal CT porcelanite and minor amounts of clay shale and chert.

A fault zone similar to that of Jalama Beach is exposed in coastal cliffs at Arroyo Burro Beach in Santa Barbara (Eichhubl and Behl, 1998). Two subparallel faults and associated veins are cemented by banded calcite of cumulative cement thickness similar to that of Jalama Beach. The host rock sequence at Arroyo Burro Beach consists of dolomitic porcelanite and siliceous mudstone and only subordinate dolostone.

Coastal sections of the Monterey Formation underwent for the most part a single burial-exhumation cycle; exhumation started ca. 1 Ma (Jackson and Yeats, 1982), while offshore sections of the Monterey Formation are still parts of active basins undergoing burial. The inferred maximum burial depth for the Jalama Beach section is ~1000 m (Eichhubl, 1997). The exposed fault system at Jalama Beach is ~50 m below the top of the Monterey Formation (Dibblee, 1988a, 1988b), which is ~700 m thick at this location (Grivetti, 1982). The fault system at Arroyo Burro Beach cuts across a bed of siliceous dolostone that marks the Luisian-Mohnian stage boundary (Echols, 1994; Hornafius, 1994c). On the basis of the measured thickness of the Naples Beach section (Echols, 1994; Hornafius, 1994c), located 22 km west of Arroyo Burro Beach, the fault system is ~300 m above the base of the Monterey Formation, which has a total thickness of...
of ~410 m at this location. The inferred maximum burial depth of the Arroyo Burro Beach section is 750 m (Eichhubl and Boles, 1998).

The faults and fault-related veins at Jalama were sampled from the fault, from veins 20 and 400 m to the east, and from dolomite cementing a dolostone breccia 500 m east of the fault. In all cases, the composition and purity of carbonate cement were verified by thin-section petrography.

Carbonate samples were dissolved in 5 M acetic acid and the separated samples analyzed on a Finnigan MAT 261 adjustable five-collector mass spectrometer with a precision of <0.00003 (2σ mean; Table 1). The accuracy of the measurements was monitored with the NBS 987 standard, which gave a mean value of 0.710235 ± 0.000002 (2σ mean). Separations and analyses were performed in the laboratories of James Mattinson and George Tilston at the University of California, Santa Barbara.

In addition, four bulk rock samples of clay-poor, siliceous dolostone host rock were analyzed to provide a strontium-stratigraphic reference age of the section adjacent to the fault at Jalama Beach. Carbonate was dissolved using 5 M acetic acid to minimize contamination by clay dissolution and the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio determined from the leachate (Table 2). Remaining carbonate in the dissolution residue was removed with 2.5 M hydrochloric acid until no visible reaction occurred. The acid was subsequently replaced two times to minimize strontium contamination in exchangeable clay layers, and the resulting HCl-insoluble residue was analyzed for ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr (Table 2).

Strontium concentrations of the bulk rock and leachate obtained by X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF) and thermal ionization mass spectrometry (TIMS), respectively, indicate that 5%–6% of total strontium of the bulk dolostone host rock from Jalama Beach is radiogenic strontium contained in clays. Following results by Schultz et al. (1989, Table 2), we assume that the radiogenic strontium resides in the nonexchangeable clay layer.

### Table 1. ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr COMPOSITION OF MONTEREY FAULT CEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>Sample location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr</th>
<th>±2σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03/01/95-7</td>
<td>Jalama Beach, southeastern fault zone</td>
<td>Early, randomly oriented dolomite cement</td>
<td>0.708820</td>
<td>0.000014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/01/95-6AB</td>
<td>Jalama Beach, southeastern fault zone</td>
<td>Late banded, acicular dolomite</td>
<td>0.708815</td>
<td>0.000019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/23/96-6</td>
<td>Jalama Beach, eastern margin of southeastern fault zone</td>
<td>Gray clear dolomite in fault-parallel vein</td>
<td>0.708874</td>
<td>0.000025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/26/95-2</td>
<td>Jalama Beach, vein 145 m west of southeastern fault</td>
<td>Early banded clear dolomite, vein connected to fault (?)</td>
<td>0.708837</td>
<td>0.000014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/26/95-2</td>
<td>Jalama Beach, vein 145 m west of southeastern fault</td>
<td>Late acicular dolomite, vein connected to fault (?)</td>
<td>0.708753</td>
<td>0.000014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/11/95-5</td>
<td>Jalama Beach, veinlet 70 m east of southeastern fault</td>
<td>Isolated, bedding-confined dolomite veinlet</td>
<td>0.708829</td>
<td>0.000014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/14/95-5A</td>
<td>Arroyo Burro Beach, eastern fault</td>
<td>Early cement band of large calcite botryoid within fault</td>
<td>0.708765</td>
<td>0.000015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/13/95-6</td>
<td>Arroyo Burro Beach, vein 20 m east of southeastern fault</td>
<td>Calcite vein, connected to fault</td>
<td>0.708749</td>
<td>0.000020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/24/95-3B(B)</td>
<td>Arroyo Burro Beach, stratabound vein 88 m west of aluminum tube, 1100 m east State Beach</td>
<td>Laminated calcite vein reactivating earlier dolomite vein across dolostone marker bed</td>
<td>0.708774</td>
<td>0.000025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/25/94-5</td>
<td>Arroyo Burro Beach, stratabound breccia 4 m east aluminum tube, 1100 m east State Beach</td>
<td>Baroque dolomite cement in quartz-dolomite-calcite cemented dolostone breccia</td>
<td>0.708683</td>
<td>0.000014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr values reported relative to NBS-987 standard — 0.710235. For detailed location description, refer to Eichhubl and Behl (1998). Sample numbers are same as used for stable isotopic analyses reported in Eichhubl and Boles (1998) and Eichhubl (1997).

### Table 2. ELEMENTAL AND STRONTIUM ISOTOPIC COMPOSITION OF SILICEOUS DOLOSTONE HOST ROCK AT JALAMA BEACH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>SiO₂ (wt%)</th>
<th>CaO (wt%)</th>
<th>MgO (wt%)</th>
<th>Al₂O₃ (wt%)</th>
<th>K₂O (wt%)</th>
<th>Rb (ppm)</th>
<th>HCl-insoluble residue (wt%)</th>
<th>Sr in residue (ppm) (wt% of total Sr)*</th>
<th>⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr of residue</th>
<th>Sr in carbonate (ppm) (wt% of total Sr)*</th>
<th>⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr of carbonate</th>
<th>⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr of bulk rock*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04/23/96-3</td>
<td>88 m west of southeastern fault</td>
<td>28.34</td>
<td>38.97</td>
<td>26.38</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.71104 ± 3</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/22/98-1</td>
<td>180 m west of southeastern fault</td>
<td>51.86</td>
<td>26.35</td>
<td>13.68</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.71097 ± 3</td>
<td>464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/23/96-6</td>
<td>80 m east of southeastern fault</td>
<td>41.89</td>
<td>31.83</td>
<td>18.70</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.71102 ± 2</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/22/98-3</td>
<td>110 m east of southeastern fault*</td>
<td>31.94</td>
<td>36.09</td>
<td>24.71</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.71160 ± 2</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr values reported relative to NBS-987 standard — 0.710235.
*Calculated leachate.
Acetate leachate.
Approximately 20 m stratigraphically below sample 04/23/96-3.
15 m stratigraphically below sample 04/23/96-8.
ers that are largely unaffected by the acetic acid leach, whereas strontium in the exchangeable layers mimics the isotopic composition of the carbonate. This assumption is based on the similarity of our radiogenic strontium ratios of the dissolution residue with that of smectite in Schultz et al. (1989) after clay treatment with ammonium chloride, whereas the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio of their untreated smectite samples compares to that of selectively leached carbonate pore cement. Negligible radiogenic strontium contamination during the selective acetic acid leach is also confirmed by the generally good correspondence of the strontium stratigraphic position of selectively leached carbonate samples with their biostratigraphic or lithostratigraphic position, as demonstrated by our samples and by Miller (1995), and as discussed in the following.

Strontium isotopic ratios for dolomite vein and fault cement at Jalama Beach, ranging between 0.70875 and 0.70887, are distinctly lower than dolomite (i.e., acetate leachate) values, 0.70866 to 0.70899, of surrounding host dolostone. Strontium isotopic ratios of calcite vein and fault cement at Arroyo Burro Beach are generally lower than vein and fault cement values from Jalama Beach, with values ranging between 0.70875 and 0.70877. The lowest $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio of 0.70868 is obtained from a single analysis of dolomite vein cement at Arroyo Burro Beach, 500 m east of the cemented fault. On the basis of crosscutting relations, this vein predates calcite fault and vein cement at Arroyo Burro Beach (Eichhubl and Boles, 1998).

**DISTANCE OF CROSS-STRATIGRAPHIC FLUID FLOW**

For carbonate cement precipitating from an aqueous solution, the carbonate cement inherits the strontium isotopic composition of the aqueous solution, making the strontium isotopic composition of carbonate cement a useful natural tracer for basinal fluid flow (Schultz et al., 1989; Sullivan et al., 1990; Mountjoy et al., 1992; Chaudhuri and Clauer, 1993; Feldman et al., 1993). Throughout Neogene and Quaternary time the seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ composition steadily increased to the present-day value of 0.709162 (Howarth and McArthur, 1997) (Fig. 5). The strontium isotopic composition of the entrapped pore fluid within a Neogene sediment sequence is therefore expected to decrease steadily with depth, provided the strontium isotopic composition of the fluid remained unmodified by diagenetic processes. Upward migration of pore water would lead to lower $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios in carbonate cement precipitating during or after fluid migration as compared to cement precipitated prior to fluid migration. The strontium isotopic composition of cement may thus be used as a tracer for cross-stratigraphic flow of connate pore fluids, provided that diagenetic changes in strontium isotopic fluid composition are negligible or predictable.

The strontium isotopic composition of the acetate-leached dolomite component in the four host dolostone samples from Jalama Beach agrees well with the upper Miocene stratigraphic position of the sampled section, ~50 m below the Monterey-Sisquoc contact as mapped by Dibblee (1988a, 1988b). Apparently, the selectively leached carbonate has retained the primary strontium isotopic composition of upper Miocene seawater. Similarly, Miller (1995) found a good correlation between strontium isotopic composition of acetic-acid leached dolostone and biostratigraphic age of samples taken from a continuous Monterey core from the South Elwood offshore oil field, 17 km west of Arroyo Burro Beach. Authigenic dolostone of the Monterey Formation is known to precipitate during early burial but is inferred to progressively recrystallize during burial, based on its oxygen isotopic composition (Burns and Baker, 1987; Malone et al., 1994). Miller’s (1995) data indicate that, despite recrystallization, the dolostone beds remain closed with respect to strontium during recrystallization and oxygen isotopic resetting.

In contrast, the strontium isotopic values of calcite and dolomite vein and fault cement from Jalama Beach and Arroyo Burro Beach are distinctly lower than the expected seawater strontium isotopic values for the particular stratigraphic position of these locations (Fig. 5). For Jalama Beach, where a biostratigraphic reference is not available, $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of fault and vein dolomite are compared to the measured $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ composition of the surrounding host dolostone. For Arroyo Burro Beach, the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ composition of vein and fault cement is compared to the biostratigraphic age of the dolostone marker bed that corresponds to the Luisian-Mohnian stage boundary (Echols, 1994; Hornafius, 1994c) of ca. 13.85 Ma (Barron, 1986; time scale revised after Cande and Kent, 1995).
The average \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) ratio of fault and vein dolomite at Jalama Beach of 0.70882 is 0.00011 lower than the mean \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) value of the surrounding host dolostone (0.70893). For a formation thickness at Jalama Beach of \(~700\text{ m}\) and assuming uniform sedimentation rate throughout the section, the difference suggests upward transport of strontium by \(\sim 245\text{ m}\) \((\pm 100\text{ m}\) for \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) ratios \(\pm 0.00002\times 2\sigma\)).

The inferred distance of upward strontium transport at Arroyo Burro Beach is less and close to the resolution limit of the method. Fault-related calcite cement at Arroyo Burro Beach has an average \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) composition of 0.708757 \(\pm 0.000020\) that is 0.00031 \(\pm 0.000023\) below the expected value of 0.708788 \(\pm 0.000003\) for this particular stratigraphic position. For a thickness of the underlying Monterey section of \(~300\text{ m}\), this difference in isotopic composition is equivalent to 70 m \((\pm 50\text{ m}\) of upward strontium transport. At both Jalama and Arroyo Burro Beach, the strontium isotopic composition of vein cement points toward a fluid source below the surrounding host rock but within the formation rather than within deeper sections of the basin.

The interpretation of these numbers as distance of upward fluid flow is contingent upon the following three assumptions.

1. The Strontium Isotopic Composition of the Pore Fluid has not Significantly Been Changed by Dissolution of Detrital Minerals

Mineral dissolution may change the strontium isotopic fluid composition. Dissolution of clays and potassium feldspar tends to increase the \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) ratio, whereas dissolution of plagioclase tends to decrease the ratio of \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\). The extent to which these reactions alter the pore fluid strontium isotopic composition depends on the water-rock ratio, the strontium concentration in the mineral phase, and the mineral abundance. Potassium feldspar and plagioclase can contain 400–700 ppm \(^{87}\text{Sr}^{+}\) (Schultz et al., 1989; Feldman et al., 1993), somewhat higher than \(^{87}\text{Sr}^{+}\) concentrations in dolomite of 300–400 ppm measured at Jalama Beach (Table 2) and similar values reported previously (Faure, 1986; Burns and Baker, 1987; Compton, 1988).

Due to their higher abundance, clays have a potentially larger influence on the strontium isotopic composition of Monterey Formation water than feldspar. If we take (lacking a more systematic data set) the four host dolostone samples from Jalama Beach as a guide, complete dissolution of clay contained in these dolostones would raise the pore-water \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) ratio by \(-0.00013\) as compared to pore fluid whose \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) is controlled by carbonate dissolution only. Addition of radiogenic strontium would lead to an apparent decrease in inferred fluid migration distance. Assuming complete clay dissolution, addition of radiogenic strontium would thus double the migration distance of 245 m for Jalama Beach and quadruple the distance of 70 m for Arroyo Burro Beach. While the inferred fluid migration distances would be significantly longer, both estimates would still be within the thickness of the Monterey Formation at both locations, leaving the basic conclusion of an intraformational fluid source as derived earlier unchanged. Dissolution of smectite, the predominant clay phase in the Monterey Formation, has been observed at burial temperatures above 80 °C only (Pollastro, 1990; Compton, 1991). Thus, strontium release into the fluid due to clay dissolution is unlikely to be significant except within the deepest parts of the formation. The lack of a significant radiogenic strontium component in Monterey Formation water is also suggested by the strontium isotopic composition of present-day Monterey Formation water. Analyzed formation waters from three different Monterey reservoirs in the Santa Barbara and Santa Maria Basins, including the South Elwood offshore oil field studied by Miller (1995) with respect to dolomite strontium isotopes, range between 0.70863 and 0.70826 (Eichhubl, 1997), and are even lower than the strontium isotopic range predicted for connate Monterey Formation water based on the seawater curve.

Lacking any indication of a significant radiogenic strontium component in Monterey Formation fluids, the following discussion on fluid migration distance is based on the first estimates of 245 m for Jalama Beach and 70 m for Arroyo Burro Beach.

2. The Strontium Composition of the Fluid Corresponds to the Strontium Composition of a Distinct Source Layer

Equating the difference in strontium isotopic composition between the vein cement and some stratigraphic lower source layer with the distance of strontium transport thus obtained to assume that all the strontium is derived from a single layer rather than from a depth range, and no exchange of strontium has taken place during upward flow. These assumptions are justified if a distinct stratigraphic horizon serves as an aquifer supplying fluid to the fault zone, and provided that carbonate dissolution or precipitation during upward flow does not cause significant exchange of strontium with the country rock. Because no single distinct aquifer can be identified in the sections at Jalama Beach and Arroyo Burro Beach, we assume that fluid is drawn into the fault uniformly from the underlying section over a finite depth interval and with uniform strontium concentration. Assuming a uniform strontium concentration of fluid entering the fault will be better justified by the uniformity of the dolostone-rich section at Jalama Beach (Griwetti, 1982) than for the more variable lithologic composition of the Arroyo Burro Beach section.

Assuming uniform fluid influx with uniform strontium concentration over the depth interval transected by the fault, the strontium isotopic composition of the fluid and thus of the cement at the top of the fault is the integrated strontium isotopic composition over the depth interval. If dissolved strontium enters the fault and fracture system over the depth interval \(h_{\text{top}}-h_{\text{bottom}}\) at equal amounts per unit depth interval \(dh\), then the strontium isotopic ratio of the fluid at the top of the depth interval is

\[
\frac{\text{Sr}_{\text{fluid}}}{87} = \frac{1}{h_{\text{top}} - h_{\text{bottom}}} \int_{h_{\text{bottom}}}^{h_{\text{top}}} \frac{87\text{Sr}_{\text{formation}}}{dh}. \tag{2}
\]

In equation 2, \(\frac{87\text{Sr}_{\text{fluid}}}{86\text{Sr}_{\text{fluid}}}\) stands for the strontium isotopic ratio and \(h_{\text{top}}\) and \(h_{\text{bottom}}\) correspond to the depth at top and bottom of the fault system, respectively. The isotopic composition of fluid entering the fault zone can be assumed to correspond to the strontium isotopic ratio of the formation at this particular depth \(h\). With \(\frac{87\text{Sr}_{\text{fluid}}}{86\text{Sr}_{\text{fluid}}}\) inferred through the measured isotopic composition of the vein cement and \(\frac{d\text{Sr}_{\text{formation}}}{dh}\) approximated through the seawater strontium isotopic curve in Figure 5, equation 2 can be solved numerically to obtain \(h_{\text{bottom}}\), the depth at the base of the depth interval drained by the fault system. The median distance of mass transport thus obtained is 535 m \((\pm 120\text{ m}, \pm 2\sigma)\) for Jalama Beach and 140 m \((\pm 80\text{ m}, \pm 2\sigma)\) for Arroyo Burro Beach. For Jalama Beach in particular, and po-
tentially for Arroyo Burro Beach, these distances are significant fractions of the thicknesses of the underlying formation, which is ~650 m at Jalama Beach (Fig. 6) and ~300 m at Arroyo Burro Beach. However, the inferred distances still do not suggest that strontium mass transport originates significantly below the base of the Monterey Formation.

Inferring $d^{87/86}$Sr$_{\text{formation}}/dh$ from the seawater strontium isotopic curve requires knowledge of variations in sedimentation rate within the particular stratigraphic interval. The transport distance obtained for Arroyo Burro Beach is corrected for sedimentation rates given by Echols (1994) for Naples Beach. For Jalama Beach, where a detailed biostratigraphic analysis is not available, we assumed a uniform sedimentation rate throughout the formation thickness. Because 535 m (±120 m) constitutes a large fraction of the total stratigraphic thickness of 700 m at Jalama Beach, variations in sedimentation rate throughout the section are likely to cancel out when integrated over the depth range.

If part of the strontium is continuously removed from solution by precipitation during upward flow in the fracture system, then the final strontium fluid composition in the fracture system can be estimated through the expression

$$\frac{87/86\text{Sr}_{\text{fluid}}}{\text{bottom}} = \int_{\text{bottom}}^{\text{top}} \frac{87/86\text{Sr}_{\text{formation}}(1 - \phi h)}{(1 - \phi h)} \text{d}h,$$

where $\phi$ is the fraction of strontium removed from solution through cement precipitation per unit flow distance.

The mass-balance calculation in the following section suggests that ~1.9 x 10^4 cm³ dolomite per liter fluid per meter of flow distance precipitates during upward fluid flow. With an average concentration of 300 ppm Sr⁺⁺ in dolomite cementation removes ~1.6 x 10^4 mg/L strontium per meter flow distance. Given an average strontium concentration of ~12 mg/L in Monterey Formation water (Eichhubl, 1997), the factor $\phi$ becomes ~1.3 x 10⁻³ m⁻¹. With the factor $\phi$ that small, the fluid strontium isotopic ratio obtained through equation 3 differs from that obtained through equation 2 by <1 x 10⁻⁴, which is within the precision of the strontium isotopic analyses—on average ±2 x 10⁻⁴ (2σ)—and therefore within the uncertainties of the distance estimates given herein.

As little as precipitation affects the estimates of mass transport distance, dissolution of host carbonate along the conduit wall will have an equally small effect on the strontium isotopic composition of the fluid. Fluid would have to enter and react with the host rock for tens to hundreds of meters adjacent to the fluid conduits for any significant shift in fluid strontium composition to occur. Host rock alteration visible in thin section, such as dolomite recrystallization, is restricted to within 1 m adjacent to fault-related veins at Jalama Beach. If dolomite recrystallization and accompanying strontium isotopic resetting of the host dolostone would have been more pervasive, the composition of the host rock samples on which the transport distance estimates are based would have been equally affected. Significant exchange in strontium isotopes between fluid and host rock during upward flow can thus be excluded.

3. The Distance of Strontium Transport Corresponds to the Distance of Fluid Transport

The distances calculated herein refer to the transport of strontium, corresponding to distances of fluid flow only if strontium exchange between solution and country rock or earlier vein cement during upward flow is negligible. The calculation suggests that this is the case, the distances thus representing true distances of fluid flow. In sedimentary sequences, however, stratigraphic differences in $^{87/86}$Sr composition reflecting the seawater strontium isotopic evolution during deposition will provide a proxy only for fluid flow across bedding, but not for bedding-parallel flow such as flow up-dip along the tilted flanks of the basin. The flow distance parallel to bedding is estimated in the following section based on a mass-balance calculation that estimates the fluid volume required for carbonate precipitation and by comparing the estimated fluid volume to a likely source volume.

VOLUME OF FLUID EXPULLED AND THE DISTANCE OF FORMATION-PARALLEL FLUID FLOW

The large volumes of carbonate cement contained in the fault zones at Jalama Beach and Arroyo Burro Beach are indicative of large fluid volumes and potentially effective focusing of fluid flow along these faults. In conjunction with the cross-stratigraphic flow distance derived herein, an estimate of the fluid volume necessary for precipitation of the observed volume of carbonate cement may provide an estimate of the distance of bedding-parallel flow and thus the extent of fluid focusing. The following discussion focuses on dolomite cementation at Jalama Beach but applies similarly to calcite cementation at Arroyo Burro Beach.

A mass-balance calculation of fluid involved in precipitation of the observed volume of dolomite requires information about the concentra-
Figure 7. The variation of CO₂aq concentration in an aqueous solution as a function of depth and mole fraction m of CO₂gas. A CO₂gas mole fraction of 0.07 is measured in gas produced from the Hondo oil field (Exxon, Thousand Oaks, 1997, oral commun.), whereas a mole fraction of 0.01 is more characteristic for the temperature range of 80–100 °C (Smith and Ehrenberg, 1989). Curves are calculated for thermobaric gradients of 50 °C/100 atm (hydrostatic), 50 °C/240 atm (lithostatic), and 50 °C/130 atm, which is an upper limit of characteristic gradients in the Monterey Formation. The mole fraction of CO₂aq is calculated assuming a fugacity coefficient m = 1 and using Henry’s Law coefficients after Ellis and Golding (1963) for 1 M NaCl solutions.

A more viable approach to carbonate mass balancing is based on the assumption that the formation fluid is always saturated in Ca²⁺ and/or Mg²⁺ with respect to calcite or dolomite and that precipitation is controlled by changes in CO₂ partial pressure as fluid moves upward and decompresses. Initial saturation with respect to dolomite or calcite appears reasonable for fluid that has been in contact with dolostone and calcite shell tests for several million years. In a pure H₂O-CO₃²⁻-Me²⁺ system, carbonate cementation is controlled by changes in temperature and CO₂ partial pressure, with the tendency for carbonate dissolution with decreasing temperature under constant P_CO₂, but for precipitation with decreasing P_CO₂ under constant temperature (Ellis, 1959). Under common geobaric gradients, the pressure effect outweighs the temperature effect and carbonate precipitates during upward flow, to the saturation concentration of available divalent metal cations, primarily Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺.

The CO₂ concentration in solution can be calculated using Henry’s Law

\[ K_h = \frac{f_{CO_2}}{x} \]

where \( K_h \) is Henry’s Law coefficient and \( x \) is mole fraction of CO₂aq in solution. Under di-lute conditions, the fugacity, \( f_{CO_2} \), approaches the partial pressure \( P_{CO_2} \), which is equal to the total pressure times the mole fraction of CO₂aq. The change in CO₂aq concentration with depth is shown in Figure 7 for a constant mole fraction CO₂aq of 0.07, which is the mole fraction of CO₂gas in the gas phase produced from the Monterey Formation in the offshore Hondo oil field 40 km west of Santa Barbara (Exxon, Thousand Oaks, 1997, oral commun.). Henry’s Law coefficients are taken from Ellis and Golding (1963) for an ionic strength of 1 m and gas activity coefficients have been approximated by unity.

Equilibrium concentrations of dissolved CO₂aq are calculated in Figure 7 for three thermobaric gradients, hydrostatic (50 °C/100 atm), lithostatic (50 °C/240 atm), and in between (50 °C/130 atm), the latter corresponding to a pressure gradient of 130 atm/km or 0.6 psi/ft (12.7 MPa/km). Pore-fluid pressure gradients in Monterey hydrocarbon reservoirs
range between hydrostatic and 0.6 psi/ft (U.S. Geological Survey, 1983; Crain et al., 1985). Upward flow of 500 m to the depth of interest, ~1000 m, and following a hydrostatic pressure gradient, leads to a drop in CO$_2$\textsubscript{aq} concentration of ~30 mg/L, corresponding to ~0.02 cm$^3$/L of dolomite precipitation. If the fluid were initially at a fluid pressure corresponding to a pressure gradient of 130 atm/km and were to drop to a hydrostatic pressure, then CO$_2$\textsubscript{aq} concentration would drop by ~130 mg/L, precipitating ~0.10 cm$^3$/L dolomite.

With the latter number providing a minimum fluid volume, the entire fault system with a cumulative vein thickness of ~8 m and an estimated depth of 500 m requires a minimum of 4 x 10$^7$ m$^3$ of fluid per meter fault length. Assuming this fluid volume corresponds to 5% of the source rock volume, the fault would drain a rock volume of at least 0.8 km$^3$ per meter fault length. Because the faults at Jalama Beach are exposed only over a distance of ~35 m along strike, these numbers can be interpreted in two ways. If the cemented zone is only 35 m long, the required fluid volume is 1.2 x 10$^7$ m$^3$, corresponding to a source volume of ~23 km$^3$ and a distance of radial fluid flow into the fault of 4.3 km. If the fault cementation were continuous along strike, focusing fluid bilaterally over a 500-m-thick depth interval, then the fault would have to drain an 800-km-wide zone to each side of the fault, which is wider than the basin. Clearly, cementation along the fault must be discontinuous along strike and the fault focused fluid radially.

To see how sensitive the fluid volume estimate is to the assumed pressure gradient, we may assume that upward fluid flow followed a hydrostatic pressure gradient throughout. In this case, the total fluid volume required to precipitate an 8 x 35 x 500 m$^3$ dolomite-cemented zone is ~6.3 x 10$^7$ m$^3$, four times more than obtained for the superhydrostatic case herein. The drainage radius becomes 9 km, twice the value, all other parameters being held constant.

A fluid pressure gradient close to hydrostatic is not very likely during fluid expulsion at Jalama Beach, however, because fluid migration with respect to the formation under hydrostatic conditions is possible only when the sector compacts through the stagnant water column during burial. One of us (Eichhubl, 1997) derived flow velocities of as much as 0.1 m/s based on sedimentary structures of detrital fracture fill. These high flow velocities and the inferred timing of fluid flow during basin margin exhumation require above-hydrostatic fluid pressures to induce fluid flow, thus making fluid pressure conditions close to the hydrostatic gradient unlikely. Evidence for lithostatic fluid pressures, however, is not observed. Extensive bedding-parallel veins that would indicate lithostatic fluid pressures are not found in the Monterey Formation, with the exception of some water sills inferred to form during early burial based on crosscutting relations with folds and faults. On the basis of these constraints and present-day fluid pressure gradients, an initial fluid pressure corresponding to an intermediate fluid pressure gradient of 130 atm/km may be considered a reasonable estimate of the fluid pressure before upward fluid flow.

In addition to fluid pressure, fluid volume estimates depend critically on the CO$_2$ partial pressure, which is a function of the mole fraction CO$_2$\textsubscript{gas}. For a CO$_2$\textsubscript{gas} mole fraction of 0.01, a more common value for hydrocarbon reservoirs in the 80–100 °C temperature range than 0.07 used herein (Smith and Ehrenberg, 1989), the fluid volume becomes 10 km$^3$, and the radius of radial drainage becomes 11 km. The CO$_2$\textsubscript{gas} mole fraction typically increases in hydrocarbon reservoirs with increasing burial temperature and depth (Smith and Ehrenberg, 1989). Curves of dissolved CO$_2$\textsubscript{gas} plotted against burial depth would therefore have a lower slope than the curves plotted for constant mole fraction CO$_2$\textsubscript{gas} as shown in Figure 7. During rapid upward flow along fractures in a formation of low matrix permeability, fluid may not exchange CO$_2$ easily with the formation water at shallower depth. The fluid may therefore be expected to follow the closed-system trajectories shown in Figure 7. Upward fluid flow through the matrix of permeable formations, however, may follow trajectories for a decreasing mole fraction CO$_2$\textsubscript{gas} and correspondingly precipitate more carbonate cement per unit fluid volume and flow distance than would be expected for rapid flow along faults.

These calculations were obtained assuming, for the sake of convenience, a pure H$_2$O-CO$_2$-Me$^{+}$ system. Pore water of the Monterey Formation, however, is characterized by high concentrations of organic acid (S.G. Franks, 1996, written commun.). The dissociation of organic acids, such as the reaction CH$_3$COOH $\leftrightarrow$ CH$_3$COO$^-$ + H$^+$ for the acetate-acetic acid pair, may control pH in addition to P$_{CO_2}$. Solutions with acetate concentrations below ~0.06 M (~3600 mg/L) at 100 °C would still precipitate carbonate through CO$_2$ exsolution, although to a lesser extent than in a solution whose pH is solely controlled by the carbonate system (Lundegard and Land, 1989). At higher acetate concentrations, loss of CO$_2$ leads to carbonate dissolution rather than precipitation. Acetate concentrations of coastal Monterey Formation waters are generally below this threshold, with an average concentration of 2500 mg/L (S.G. Franks, 1996, written commun.). Temporary fluctuations in organic acid concentration above this threshold may lead to stages of carbonate dissolution intervening precipitation of vein cement. Stages of dissolution in fault carbonate cement were observed through cathodoluminescence at Jalama and Arroyo Burro Beach (Eichhubl, 1997). In any case, due to the presence of organic acid in Monterey Formation water, the fluid volume estimates and the inferred flow distances calculated here for systems free of organic acid must be considered minimum estimates.

Two further assumptions are the pressure profile over the vertical extent of the fault zone, and the ratio of expelled fluid to source rock volume. The preceding calculation assumes that the pressure and temperature drop is gradually distributed over 500 m. This assumption agrees with pore-pressure profiles with depth for the Monterey Formation (U.S. Geological Survey, 1983) that suggest a gradual pressure increase with depth. In addition, it is expected that, after initial rupture of a seal, the largest gradient in pore fluid pressure is presumably where the fluid leaves the formation and enters the fracture network. Following the assumption made earlier, that fluid seeps into the fault zone over its entire depth range, the fluid pressure drop would be equally distributed over the estimated flow distance thickness of the cemented zone, which is assumed to be 500 m.

It is difficult to assess the ratio of expelled fluid to the volume of the source rock. This ratio estimate is necessary to relate the calculated fluid volume to the flow distance. The expelled fluid volume may correspond to a change in pore volume during compaction. Because of the abundance of hydrocarbon inclusions in Jalama Beach vein cement, fluid flow along the fault is likely to be the consequence of hydrocarbon maturation in the adjacent synclines of the basin. Ungerer et al. (1983) estimated a 57% volume gain for type II kerogen during hydrocarbon maturation, allowing for selective escape of CO$_2$ and H$_2$S. For an initial average organic matter content of 8% of the rock volume (Isaacs and Petersen, 1984), the possible volume gain amounts to ~5% of the initial source rock volume. Because organic matter will be lost prior to the activity of the fault system, 5% yield may be considered a maximum estimate, also leading to a minimum estimate in flow distance.
Kinetic Model

The preceding approach is based on the assumption that fluid is in thermodynamic equilibrium with wall-rock carbonate prior to and during flow. While this assumption is justifiable as an initial condition with a long residence time prior to fluid expulsion, sluggish reaction kinetics may prevent the solution from reaching equilibrium during upward flow. Long-term average flow velocities for Jalama Beach are $\sim 1$ m/day, based on inferred rates of heat loss during upward flow (Eichhubl, 1987).

Experimental kinetic data for dolomite precipitation at the temperatures of interest are not available. A crude estimate of the fluid volume required for precipitation of dolomite cement at Jalama Beach can be obtained, however, from the observed rate of calcite scale formation in well casings. Boles and Ramseyer (1987) reported calcite scale with a cross-sectional area of 21 cm$^2$ being precipitated from 89.1 $\times$ 10$^3$ m$^3$ of fluid over 3342 days ($\sim 9$ yr) of hydrocarbon production at a depth of 2591 m in a Miocene sandstone reservoir. Precipitation is accompanied by a pressure drop from 4900 psi (33.8 MPa) to 400 psi (2.8 MPa) and degassing of the fluid. Mole percent CO$_2$ averaged 1.7% over this period, increasing from $\sim 0.13\%$ to 2.16% (data by Arco, Bakersfield, provided to J.R. Boles, 1993).

Under the same conditions, 280 m$^2$ of calcite, equivalent to the surface area of dolomite at Jalama Beach, would require $12 \times 10^9$ m$^3$ or 12 km$^3$ of fluid, which is one order of magnitude higher than the best equilibrium estimate given herein. The corresponding radius of fluid drainage is 12 km. Although the reaction kinetics may be slower for dolomite, this estimate is based, in contrast to the equilibrium thermodynamic calculation here, on actual precipitation rates in a moving fluid. The average calculated flow velocity in the well casing is 0.3 L/s or $\sim 20$ cm/s.

DISCUSSION

The estimated distances of fluid flow, to 650 m across bedding and a minimum of 4–12 km parallel to the formation, is equivalent to fluid focusing by a factor $F \geq 6$ as defined in equation 1. This high degree of lateral fluid focusing indicates that the permeability of the formation away from faults is highly anisotropic, the highest permeability being parallel to bedding.

Fluid flow may preferentially follow bedding due to the following types of permeability anisotropy.

1. Bedding-parallel fluid flow may be channelled along beds of high matrix permeability that alternate with beds of low permeability, as in an alternating sequence of sandstone and shale. Rock types of the Monterey Formation are characterized by equally low matrix permeability (Crain et al., 1985; Roehl and Weinbrandt, 1985; Isaacs and Petersen, 1987; MacKinnon, 1989), with the exception of some dolostone beds that contain appreciable secondary porosity we observed in core from the offshore Hondo oil field. Dolostone from Jalama and Arroyo Burro Beach, however, appears to be tightly cemented throughout.

2. Fluid flow may follow partings parallel to bedding planes. Although siliceous rock types of the Monterey Formation typically part parallel to bedding in outcrop, it is not certain if bedding-parallel fractures are conductive for fluid flow in the subsurface. Mineralization along bedding planes is infrequent and, where cross-cutting relations are observed, appears to predate folding (Bartlett, 1994).

3. Fluid flow may follow bedding-confined fractures. Extension fractures oriented at high angles to bedding and confined to the more competent units of porcelanite and dolostone in sequences alternating with clay shale of low competence are characteristic of the Monterey Formation (Narr, 1991; Hickman and Dunham, 1992; Gross, 1995; Gross et al., 1998). Hydrocarbon fill and carbonate and silica cementation of these bedding-confined veins indicate that they serve as fluid pathways in the subsurface. Frequently, extension fractures in the more competent beds lead into normal faults in the overlying and underlying mudstone beds (Figs. 8 and 9). The connected system of normal faults and extension fractures was termed fault-fracture mesh by Sibson (1996), following Hill (1977). Fluid flow is likely to occur preferentially along the extension fractures, parallel to the bedding. Slip along the normal faults would lead to continued opening of the extension veins, possibly preventing complete sealing of extension veins by cementation. The permeability and connectivity of bedding-confined fractures may also be enhanced due to shear reactivation of fractures initially formed in extension (Dholakia et al., 1998).

4. Potential pathways for preferred fluid flow parallel to bedding are bedding-parallel breccia zones (Redwine, 1981; Roehl, 1981; Belfield et
Dholakia et al. (1998) documented the formation of bedding-parallel brecciation in the Monterey Formation due to differential slip between bedding planes, presumably caused by flexural slip in folds. Prominent breccia bodies in excess of 1 m thickness are also observed as the consequence of brittle folding of chert layers (Snyder et al., 1983; Hickman and Dunham, 1992; Behl and Garrison, 1994) (Fig. 10). Typically, diatomite and porcelanite layers overlying and underlying folded chert beds are unfolded, giving chert folds the resemblance of synsedimentary folds. Behl and Garrison (1994) suggested that brittle chert folds are tectonic, with the shortening accommodated in the overlying and underlying diatomite and porcelanite layers by bulk shortening and porosity collapse, whereas low-porosity chert buckles, brecciates, and folds. Brecciated chert layers are frequently found saturated with hydrocarbons.

The observed control of bedding on the fracture permeability structure suggests that the inferred permeability anisotropy of the formation and resulting fluid focusing are intrinsic to the formation (Fig. 1A) rather than controlled by formation boundaries (Fig. 1C). However, the degree to which the permeability anisotropy is intrinsic may vary on a regional scale and with depth. Porcelanite and chert in the quartz diagenetic stage may be sufficiently fractured to allow flow across bedding. The permeability anisotropy of the formation may then be controlled by diagenetic boundaries and by variations in silica and clay content of the formation with depth on the scale of stratigraphic members of the formation.

The mass-balance estimates require that fluid enters the faults in a radial rather than uniaxial symmetry. It follows that faults are preferred pathways over short segments, forming pipes rather than planar ducts within these fault zones (Fig. 11). Possible pathways for fluid flow are releasing bends, extensional stepovers of fault segments, and fault intersections. Radial flow into these fluid pathways requires that fluid flow along bedding is fairly isotropic within the bedding plane. A single dominant joint set would likely result in a strong flow anisotropy within bedding planes (Fig. 11B). Radial and thus isotropic flow requires at least two or more crosscutting and mutually connected joint sets (Fig. 11C), as described by Gross (1993) from the Monterey Formation. Isotropic flow along bedding may be best accommodated by bedding-parallel breccia zones. Belfield et al. (1983) and MacKinnon (1989) reported that oil production is most prolific from wells intersecting the regional, north-northeast–south-southwest–trending joint set at high angles, a finding not supported by others (Jon Schwalbach, Exxon, Thousand Oaks, 1996, oral commun.) and inconsistent with the radial flow geometry inferred from this study. This ambivalence may indicate a regional variability in the permeability anisotropy for flow parallel to bedding.

Within the tilted sequence on the basin flanks, fluid will be drawn preferentially from the center of the basin or from adjacent synforms. This semicircle or arc of a circle geometry will extend the minimum distances of formation-parallel flow of 4 to >12 km by at least a factor of 2. An updip migration path of hydrocarbons from synforms undergoing burial and catagenesis into uplifted structural highs was also inferred by Ogle et al. (1987) based on the charge history of Monterey reservoirs.

The effect of faults on basinal fluid migration is thus governed by three geometric constraints: (1) the permeability structure of the fault zone such as the presence of zones of local extension along the fault; (2) the permeability anisotropy of the surrounding formation as controlled by stratification, jointing, and lateral stratigraphic variations; and (3) the orientation of the regional flow field as controlled by differences in hydraulic head. An analysis of all three components is required for the prediction of basinal fluid flow in faulted sequences, as done routinely by numerical modeling. Although the combination of low matrix and high fracture permeability is unique to the siliceous shale of the Monterey Formation, bedding or layering, lateral stratigraphic variations, and the preferred orientation of regional joint sets will create a permeability anisotropy in most sedimentary sequences as well as in basement rocks, thus affecting local-
A. FAULT PLANAR DUCT UNILATERAL FLOW
B. FAULT "PIPE" UNILATERAL FLOW
C. FAULT "PIPE" RADIAL FLOW

Figure 11. Control of fault structure and source bed permeability anisotropy on basinal fluid-flow geometry in fractured reservoirs. Fluid is depicted to flow updip within fractured source unit into fault and leaves the fault into a fractured reservoir unit at a structurally higher level. A: Fault is uniformly conductive along strike; single fracture set directs fluid flow in single direction. Fault is highly effective in draining the source bed. B: Same as A, but fault is conductive only within stepover region. Fault is poorly effective in draining the source bed. C: Fault is conductive only within stepover region, but two sets of fractures in source and reservoir units allow radial flow pattern into and out of the fault fluid conduit. Fault is highly effective in draining source bed. Fluid flow in Monterey faults is inferred to follow case C. Radial flow in the Monterey Formation may be accommodated by multiple fracture sets or by bedding-parallel brecciation.

CONCLUSION

Reservoir-scale faults in the Monterey Formation contain locally large volumes of carbonate and minor quartz cement, indicative of large volumes of fluid migrating along these faults. Using the strontium isotopic composition of carbonate cement as a natural tracer for fluid flow, the inferred distance of upward cross-stratigraphic flow approaches the thickness of the Monterey Formation at Jalama Beach of 700 m and appears to constitute a significant fraction of the underlying part of the Monterey Formation at Arroyo Burro Beach. At both locations, the inferred distances suggest that fluid is derived from within the Monterey Formation rather than from underlying older units. Mass-balance estimates of the volume of fluid required for fault cementation at Jalama Beach indicate a minimum distance of formation-parallel flow into the fault zone of 4 to >12 km. Fluid flow is thus highly focused toward this fault zone, the inferred distance of flow parallel to the formation into the fault exceeding the distance of cross-formational upward flow along this fault by at least a factor of six. The mass-balance estimates also suggest that fluid flow along the fault is localized to pipe-shaped channels of limited extent along fault strike, such as re-leasing bends or stepover regions of overlapping fault segments. Outcrop observations indicate that likely conduits for fluid flow along bedding are layer-confined sets of extension fractures and bedding-parallel breccia bodies, leading to a permeability anisotropy that is intrinsic to the formation rather than controlled by formation boundaries. Fluid flow parallel to bedding into the fault is inferred to follow a radial rather than uni- or bilateral flow symmetry. The inferred radial flow geometry toward fault channels or pipes requires that the permeability structure for fluid parallel to bedding is fairly isotropic, whereas flow across bedding is restricted. The isotropic permeability of bedding planes is likely to be caused by multiple sets of extension fractures at high angles to bedding and by bedding-parallel brecciation. The inferred flow geometry illustrates the combined effect of fault permeability structure, permeability anisotropy of the surrounding formation, and hydraulic head distribution in controlling basinal fluid flow in faulted sequences.
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